

The Geological Survey

OF

Arkansas

In Cooperation with the
United States Geological Survey

Water Powers of Arkansas

A Preliminary Report on White River and Some of
Its Tributaries.

CALIFORNIA
STATE MINING BUREAU
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIF.

By

W. N. GLADSON

Engineer in Charge of Water Power Investigations.

A. H. PURDUE,
State Geologist.

1911

STATE MINING BUREAU
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIF.

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL.

*To the President, Governor George W. Donaghey, and
Members of the Geological Commission of Arkansas:*

GENTLEMEN: I have the honor to submit herewith,
a preliminary report on the water powers of White River
and some of its tributaries.

A. H. PURDUE,
State Geologist.

University of Arkansas,
Fayetteville, Ark.
February 7, 1911.

THE GEOLOGICAL COMMISSION.

HIS EXCELLENCY, GEORGE W. DONAGHEY,
Governor of Arkansas.

JOHN N. TILLMAN,
President, University of Arkansas.

HON. FRED H. PHILLIPS,
Commissioner of Mines, Manufactures and Agriculture.

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

	Page
Title	i
Letter of Transmittal	iii
Table of Contents	v
Illustrations	99-158
Preface	ix
Introduction	1
Explanation of terms, tables, and curves	4
Second-foot	4
Second-foot per square mile	4
Run-off in inches	4
Acre-foot	4
Tables of daily gage heights	4
Table of discharge measurements	4
Rating tables	4
Rating curve	5
Hydrograph	5
Convenient equivalents	5
Accuracy of field data	6
Topography and geology of the region	7
White river and tributaries	8
Upper White River	9
Table of distances and fall on White River	10
Table of distances and fall on Buffalo Fork of White River	11
Table of distances and fall on North Fork of White River	12
Elevation of water surface of White River, Arkansas above sea-level at Gulf, from near Habberton to the State line	12
Upper White River near Beaver, Arkansas	13
Daily gage hight, in feet, of White River, near Beaver Ark- ansas, for part of 1909-1910. Observer, Reno N. Lowe	15
Discharge measurements of White River at Beaver, Arkansas	16
Rating table for White River at Mo. & N. Ark. R. R. bridge, near Beaver, Arkansas, from July 18, 1909, to October 1, 1910	17
Monthly discharge of White River, near Beaver, Arkansas, for 1909-1910. (Drainage area, 1,200 sq. mi.)	19
Elevations of water surface of White River, Arkansas, above sea-level at Gulf, from mouth of Bear Creek to foot of Buffalo Shoals	20
White River near Branson, Missouri	29
Daily gage hight, in feet, of White River, near Branson, Mis- souri, for part of 1909-1910. Observers, Wm. Kruger and John A. Medley	30
Discharge measurements of White River, near Branson, Mis- souri	32
Rating table for White River at Missouri Pacific Railway bridge, near Branson, Missouri, from July 19, 1909, to October 1, 1910	32
Monthly discharge of White River, near Branson, Missouri, for 1909-1910. (Drainage area, 2,730 square miles.)	34

TABLE OF CONTENTS—Continued.

White River near Lead Hill, Arkansas	34
Daily gage hight, in feet, of White River, at Bradleys Ferry, Arkansas, for part of 1909-1910. Observer, Jerry Upshaw.	35
Discharge measurements of White River, near Lead Hill, Arkansas	37
Rating table for White River at Bradleys Ferry, Arkansas, near Lead Hill, Arkansas, from October 1, 1909, to October 1, 1910	37
Monthly discharge of White River at Bradleys Ferry, near Lead Hill, Arkansas, for 1910. (Drainage area, 4,512 square miles)	39
Water power possibilities	39
Table of available water power between State line and Bradleys Ferry	40
White River at Cotter, Arkansas	41
Daily gage hight, in feet, of White River, at Cotter, Arkansas, for part of 1909-1910. Observer, S. Butterfield.....	41
Discharge measurements of White River, near Cotter, Arkansas	42
Rating Table for White River at Missouri Pacific Railway bridge, near Cotter, Arkansas, from July 21, 1909, to October 1, 1910	43
Monthly discharge of White River, near Cotter, Arkansas, for 1909-1910. (Drainage area, 4,800 square miles.).....	45
Table of available horse power between Bradleys Ferry and Cotter Station	46
White River at Buffalo City, Arkansas	46
Table of available horse power between Cotter and Buffalo City	46
Elevations of water surface of North Fork of White River, Arkansas, above sea-level at Gulf	47
North Fork of White River, Smiths Ferry	49
Table of available horse power between Smiths Ferry and Norfolk	50
Daily gage hight, in feet, of North Fork of White River, near Henderson, Arkansas, for part of 1909-1910. Observers, F. S. Field and W. F. Smith	51
Discharge measurements of North Fork of White River, near Henderson, Arkansas	53
Rating table for North Fork of White River, at Smiths Ferry, near Henderson, Arkansas, from July 23, 1909, to October 1, 1910	53
Monthly discharge of North Fork of White River, near Henderson, Arkansas, for 1909-1910. (Drainage area, 898 square miles.)	54
Little Red River at Pangburn Gaging Station	54
Daily gage hight, in feet, of Little Red River, near Pangburn, Arkansas, for part of 1909-1910. Observer, A. J. Stolz....	55
Discharge measurements of Little Red River, near Pangburn, Arkansas	56
Rating table for Little Red River, at Skillerns Ferry, near Pangburn, Arkansas, from July 14, 1909, to October 1, 1910.	57
Elevations of water surface of Buffalo Fork of White River, Arkansas, above sea-level at Gulf, Boxley, to mouth of river	59

TABLE OF CONTENTS—Concluded.

Buffalo Fork of White River	77
Buffalo Fork of White River, near Gilbert, Arkansas	77
Buffalo Fork of White River, above mouth of Little Buffalo..	78
Buffalo Fork of White River, below mouth of Little Buffalo..	78
Table of available horse power between the mouth of Little Buffalo and Gilbert	79
Buffalo Fork of White River at mouth	79
Table of available horse power between Gilbert and the mouth of Buffalo Fork	79
Buffalo Fork of White River at Seven-mile Bend	79
Daily gage hight, in feet, of Buffalo Fork of White River, near Gilbert, Arkansas, for part of 1909-1910. Observer, Mrs. Esther Williams	80
Discharge measurements of Buffalo Fork of White River, near Gilbert, Arkansas	82
Rating table for Buffalo Fork of White River at Missouri & North Arkansas Railway bridge, near Gilbert, Arkansas, from July 16, 1909, to October 1, 1910	82
Monthly discharge of Buffalo Fork of White River, near Gilbert, Arkansas, 1909-1910. (Drainage area, 822 square miles.)	84
Miscellaneous discharge measurements	85
Control of flood waters on White River, North Fork, and Buffalo Fork	85
Table of net horse power per foot of fall with a turbine efficiency of 80 per cent. for the minimum monthly discharge of North Fork of White River, near Henderson, Arkansas	86
Table of net horse power per foot of fall with a turbine efficiency of 80 per cent. for the minimum discharge of White River, near Cotter, Arkansas	87
Table of net horse power per foot of fall, with a turbine efficiency of 80 per cent. for the minimum monthly discharge of Buffalo Fork of White River, at Gilbert, Arkansas ...	88
Table of mean monthly precipitation; inches and hundredths.	89
Table of mean temperature in degrees Fahrenheit.....	90
State control of water powers	90

PREFACE.

The rapid consumption of such coal and other stored fuel deposits as are within convenient reach of our commercial centers is a matter of great concern to those who contemplate future conditions. After these deposits shall have been exhausted, where shall posterity turn for heat, light and other power? The utilization of the sun's heat, the energy of the tides or that of the winds for the purposes named, does not now appear practicable. The only known available energy that can in any appreciable measure take the place of the stored fuels is water power. It follows that the amount of such power within the bounds of any state becomes a matter of public concern.

The report that follows covers only White River from its head waters to Buffalo Shoals, a part of North Fork of White River, and Buffalo Fork of White River.

The work for the report was intrusted to W. N. Gladson, Professor of Electrical Engineering in the University of Arkansas. Inasmuch as Professor Gladson has had training in both civil and electrical engineering, he was peculiarly fitted for the undertaking.

It will be seen by the careful reader that while a large amount of water power is available along the streams included in this report, the inauguration of any large enterprise will necessitate the construction of a series of dams and the utilization of the combined power from all; but as the valleys are narrow and but little agricultural land would be flooded by such dams, the cost of developing the power would not be prohibitive.

As the slopes of the drainage basins are steep, the run-off is rapid. The streams, as a result, are subject to sudden freshets, which for the time may increase or reduce the available power, depending upon whether the fall at the dams is increased or diminished. This could be largely, if not entirely, controlled, by constructing a system of dams near the head waters of the streams at such points as to collect the surplus water of excessive rains. Such a system

PREFACE—Concluded.

would equalize the flow, and thereby reduce the fluctuations of the available water power, at all points. While the present demand for power possibly would not justify the expense necessary for such control, it is quite certain that the future demand will make it imperative.

The fact that this report is only preliminary should be kept in mind by those who make use of the information herein contained. The stream gaging and flow measurements cover a period of only fourteen months. To be wholly reliable they should cover several years; but the frequent requests that come to the State Geologist for information concerning the water power of those streams herein considered, supply the reason for the publication of such data as are at hand.

A. H. PURDUE,
State Geologist.

Water Powers of Arkansas.

PRELIMINARY REPORT

By
W. N. GLADSON.

INTRODUCTION.

Prior to the beginning of the work covered by this report, no systematic effort had been made to determine and locate the available water powers of the State of Arkansas. Private surveys have been made at a number of points along White River and its tributaries, on the Ouachita and Little Missouri, and on other streams. The data secured by these surveys, however, are not available.

The only river survey work of importance done in the section of the State covered by this report, prior to 1909, was a navigation survey made by the United States Engineer Corps in 1888. This survey covered a portion of White River from Forsythe, Mo., to the mouth, and is recorded on a map of 42 sheets on a scale of one inch to 2,400 feet. In 1909, the Pike County Water Power Company, of Little Rock, Ark., had a survey and estimate made of the water power of a portion of Little Missouri River, at a point near Murfreesboro, Ark. According to the prospectus issued, this survey showed that there was available a minimum of 5,000 horsepower for nine months in the year, and 2,500 horsepower during the month of lowest water, as shown by a record of 39 years.

The United States Bureau of the Census in 1908 made an inquiry into the developed water power of the United States and published the result of the investigation in *Water Supply Paper*, No. 234, United States Geological Survey. In this paper, page 32, Arkansas is credited with having 255 water wheels capable of developing a total maxi-

imum of 5,868 horsepower, or an average maximum capacity of 23 horsepower per wheel. From these figures it will be understood that no serious attempt has as yet been made to develop Arkansas water power on a large scale.

With the present state of development of the art of transmitting electric power long distances with slight losses, the water power of the northern and western parts of the State should furnish cheap and abundant power in any quarter of the State for manufacturing and lighting purposes. The water power of the mountainous sections of the State can be utilized for driving cotton factories or other manufacturing plants, and for lighting cities, towns, and villages. A water power plant located on White River at Buffalo City, for instance, could economically furnish electric power within a radius of 200 miles, which would reach the most distant point in the State.

The Federal Government exercises the right of sovereignty over navigable streams, and no water power development which will obstruct navigation may be undertaken on such streams without authority from Congress.

The following streams in Arkansas from examinations made by the United States Government seem to be navigable:

Table of navigable streams.

River	Limit of Navigation	Date of Project
White	Buffalo Shoal	1899
St. Francis	Kennett	1884
Red	Fulton	1907
Current	Above State Line	1872
Black	Above State Line	1880
Cache	Riverside	1888
Saline	Turtle Bar

The water power investigation covered by this report was authorized by the State Legislature of 1909. Work was begun June 28, 1909, on upper White River. The portion of the river within the state from a point near the

post office at Habberton to Buffalo City and the part of North Fork of White River between Smith's Ferry, near Henderson, and the mouth, were surveyed and mapped. Gaging stations for determining fluctuation of the water surface were established by the United States Geological Survey, at Beaver, Bradley's Ferry, Cotter and Henderson, Ark., and at Branson, Mo. Gage readers were employed to make daily observations of the river height. Cross sections were made, and flow measurements taken at several stages of the river.

The intention of the survey was to locate by section, township and range, with as much accuracy as was practicable, the principal water power sites.

For the purpose of determining the fall, and of mapping the stream and immediate banks, a plane-table survey was made. The mapping was done on a scale of 1,000 feet to the inch, with contour intervals of 10 feet on land, and 5 feet on the water surface. The meander line of the stream was frequently checked on section corners, while the elevations were checked against United States Geological Survey bench marks. The party spent 63 working days in the field and covered about 250 miles of river, in 1909.

In 1910, the field work was resumed, beginning on Buffalo Fork of White River. This stream was mapped from Boxley to the mouth of the river, using the methods of the summer before.

One gaging station is located on this river at Gilbert, Ark., at which daily observations of river heights are made. On account of the very limited appropriation, the party spent only 26 working days in the field, and mapped about 130 miles of river.

There are 11 sheets of White River, 2 of North Fork, and 9 of Buffalo Fork. These maps were made on the scale of one inch to 1,000 feet, but are reduced one-half, making the scale on which they are published, 1:24000.

EXPLANATION OF TERMS, TABLES AND CURVES.

Second-foot. A second-foot is the quantity of water flowing past a given point in one second, in a channel one foot wide and one foot deep at the velocity of one foot per second. It equals 7.48 U. S. gallons per second.

Second-foot per square mile. A second-foot per square mile is the average number of cubic feet of water flowing per second from each square mile of area drained, on the assumption that the run-off is distributed uniformly over area and time.

Run-off in inches. The run-off in inches is the depth to which the drainage area would be covered if all the water that flows from it in a given time were uniformly distributed over the area.

Acre-foot. An acre-foot is equal to 43,500 cubic feet, or the quantity of water required to cover one acre one foot deep.

Tables of daily gage heights. The tables of daily gage heights give the daily fluctuations of water surface at each station above the zero of the gage. Most of our stations are read twice a day, morning and evening, and the average height is given in the following tables.

Tables of discharge measurements. The tables of discharge measurements give the discharge of the river in second-feet, the area of cross section, width, mean velocity, and gage height on a number of dates when measurements were made.

Rating tables. The rating tables have been calculated from the information obtained from discharge measurements and gage heights. From these tables, one may find the approximate discharge of the river corresponding to a given gage height. The tables of monthly discharge give general information as to the flow at each station. Under the heading "mean," the average discharge in second-feet for each month is given; under "minimum," the average

discharge in second-feet for the day of the month when the gage read the lowest; and under the heading "maximum," the average discharge in second-feet for the day of the month when the gage read the highest. Similarly, the maximum, mean and minimum are given for the whole year. The run-off, depth in inches on drainage area, and total acre-feet, are computed from the discharge data.

Rating curve. A rating curve is a curve obtained by plotting gage heights as ordinates and corresponding discharges in second-feet as abscissæ. When a sufficient number of discharge measurements have been made to thoroughly define a "rating curve," the discharge at any gage height may be estimated from the curve. A rating table is obtained from the curve by estimating the flow in second-feet for all gage heights.

Hydrograph. Daily gage heights at a gaging station, when plotted as ordinates against time as abscissæ, give a line which shows the fluctuations of the water surfaces from day to day, throughout the year. Such a curve is known as a "hydrograph."

In calculating available horsepower in this report, an efficiency of 80 per cent in the water wheel has been assumed, and the following formula has been used:

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Net horsepower on} \\ \text{wheel of 80 per} \\ \text{cent efficiency} \end{array} = \frac{\text{Second-feet} \times \text{fall in feet}}{11}$$

CONVENIENT EQUIVALENTS.

One second-foot equals 7.48 U. S. gallons per second, equals 448.8 gallons per minute, equals 646,272 gallons per day.

One second-foot for one year covers one square mile 13,572 inches deep and equals 31,536,000 cubic feet.

One second-foot for one day covers one square mile 0.03719 inches deep.

One second-foot for 30 days equals 59.50 acre-feet.

One hundred U. S. gallons per minute equals 0.223 second-feet.

One hundred U. S. gallons per minute for one day equals 0.442 acre-feet.

One million U. S. gallons per day equals 1.55 second-feet.

One million U. S. gallons equals 3.07 acre-feet.

One million cubic feet equals 22.95 acre-feet.

One acre-foot equals 325,828 gallons.

One inch deep on one square mile equals 0.0737 second-feet per year.

One inch deep on one square mile equals 2,323,200 cubic feet.

One acre equals (nearly) 209 feet square.

One horsepower equals 550 foot-pounds per second.

One horsepower equals 746 watts.

One horsepower equals .746 kilowatts.

One horsepower equals one second-foot of water falling 8.8 feet.

One kilowatt equals (about) $1\frac{1}{3}$ horsepower.

One kilowatt equals 44,257 foot-pounds per minute.

Pounds per square inch equals 0.434 times the head of water in feet.

Head of water in feet equals 2.3 times pounds per square inch.

One cubic foot of water weighs 62.4 pounds.

One U. S. gallon of water weighs 8.33 pounds.

Horsepower of waterfall equals 62.4 times velocity of flow in feet per minute (V), times head of fall in feet (H), times area of cross section in square feet of stream flowing over fall (A), divided by 33,000. This is expressed in the following formula:

$$H. P. = \frac{62.4 \times V \times H \times A}{33,000}$$

ACCURACY OF FIELD DATA.

In the surveys covered by this report, the location of the streams with reference to section lines, and elevation

of water surface, with reference to bench marks, is believed to be accurate within two per cent.

Fortunately, the work was begun in a very dry year, and therefore the minimum flow of the streams is probably recorded. The flow measurements, while probably recording the minimum flow, have not included the maximum, nor are there sufficient measurements of flow at any one gaging station to thoroughly define a rating curve. The estimates of daily and monthly flow, on which all power calculations are based, are therefore only first approximations, and should be considered only as estimates of probable power. To get accurate results, the gaging stations should be maintained for a period of from seven to thirteen years. This would give the maximum and minimum gage heights. The flow measurements should be continued as stages of the rivers offer opportunity to get additional points on the rating curves. The data thus secured should be preserved, plotted, and kept on file in some public office where it could be consulted.

The United States Weather Bureau maintains stations at various points in the State, from which reliable data concerning the precipitation and temperature can be obtained. A study of these data, together with the run-off from rivers, would give valuable information.

TOPOGRAPHY AND GEOLOGY OF THE REGION.*

The streams herein considered are in the physiographic division known as the Ozark Plateau. The southern and highest part of this plateau is known as the Boston Mountains. It is on the north slope of these mountains that White River, Buffalo Fork of White River, and many of their tributaries take their rise. While a good portion of these streams and their tributaries are on a lower part of the plateau to the north, all parts run through a broken region.

Those parts of the valleys that are in the Boston Mountain area are deep and canyon-like. The parts to the north of the Boston Mountains are more open, yet they are so narrow that only a small amount of ground is covered dur-

*By A. H. Purdue, State Geologist.

ing the highest freshets. The bluffs on the outside of the bends are all high and many are precipitous; the slopes on the inside are gradual, though most are steep. The land immediately bordering most parts of the streams is unfit for agriculture and such as is suitable for that purpose lies in narrow strips between the streams and the bordering highland.

North Fork of White River rises in southern Missouri and flows through a narrow valley with steep banks. But little agricultural land along it would be overflowed by such dams as would be required to develop its water power.

The rocks of the region are limestone, sandstone and shale, in horizontal beds. The streams have cut down through these rocks, and in this way the valleys were produced. The stream beds consist of mud, sand, gravel or rock, depending upon whether the velocity of the stream along the reach is slow or swift. At such points as it would be desirable to construct dams for power purposes, if solid rock is not at the surface, it is only a few feet below.

WHITE RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES.

White River rises in the Boston Mountains near the western border of the State and flows in a northeasterly direction, entering Missouri from Carroll County. It re-enters Arkansas in Boone County and takes a southeasterly direction, uniting with the Arkansas River near the Mississippi River. The total drainage area above Buffalo City is about 4,911 square miles.

Buffalo Fork of White River rises in the western part of Newton County and empties into White River a few miles below Buffalo City in Marion County. It is about 140 miles long and drains an area of 1,383 square miles.

North Fork of White River empties into White River at Norfork. It drains an area of about 1,642 square miles in Missouri and Arkansas, and is about 70 miles long. Approximately, one-half of its length is in Arkansas.

UPPER WHITE RIVER.

The tributaries that empty into upper White River from the left are:

- | | |
|-------------------|------------------------|
| 1. West Fork, | 9. Swan Creek, |
| 2. Middle Fork, | 10. Beaver Creek, |
| 3. Prairie Creek, | 11. Shoal Creek, |
| 4. Indian Creek, | 12. Big Creek, |
| 5. Spider Creek, | 13. Little North Fork, |
| 6. Butler Creek, | 14. Spring Creek, |
| 7. James River, | 15. Sisters Creek, |
| 8. Bull Creek, | 16. Howard Creek. |

The tributaries that empty into upper White River from the right are:

- | | |
|--------------------|----------------------|
| 1. Richland Creek, | 8. Indian Creek, |
| 2. Brush Creek, | 9. Long Creek, |
| 3. War Eagle, | 10. Turkey Creek, |
| 4. Little Clifty, | 11. Bear Creek, |
| 5. Big Clifty, | 12. Sugarloaf Creek, |
| 6. Leatherwood, | 13. Jimmie Creek, |
| 7. Kings River, | 14. Crooked Creek. |

Table of distances and fall on White River.

From	To	Stadia distance in miles	Fall in feet.
Habberton	Monte Ne	27.69	59.39
Monte Ne	Jennings Ford	21.40	63.37
Jennings Ford	Blue Springs	27.98	71.58
Blue Springs	Beaver railroad bridge	7.00	18.60
Beaver railroad bridge	State line in Carroll County	3.74	8.20
Total		87.71	221.14
Average fall per mile			2.57
State line in Boone County	Bradleys Ferry	21.92	52.24
Bradleys Ferry	Music Creek	17.42	36.15
Music Creek	Dews Ford	21.18	50.46
Dews Ford	Cotter railroad bridge	15.16	38.18
Cotter railroad bridge	Warner Creek, head of Buffalo Shoal	11.09	25.72
Warner Creek, head of Buffalo Shoal	Foot of Buffalo Shoal	1.73	10.52
Total		88.50	213.27
Average fall per mile			2.41

	Square miles.
Approximate area drained by White River at Beaver railroad bridge.....	1,200
Approximate area drained by White River at Branson, Mo. bridge.....	2,730
Approximate area drained by White River at Bradleys Ferry, Arkansas.....	4,512
Approximate area drained by White River at Cotter railroad bridge.....	4,800
Approximate area drained by White River at Buffalo City.....	4,911

The principal tributaries that empty into Buffalo Fork of White River from the left are:

1. Cecils Creek,
2. Mill Creek,
3. Wells Creek,
4. Water Creek,
5. Rush Creek,
6. Blue John Creek.

The tributaries that empty into Buffalo Fork of White River from the right are:

1. Little Buffalo,
2. Big Creek,
3. Cave Creek,
4. Richland Creek,
5. Calf Creek,
6. Bear Creek,
7. Big Creek,
8. Leatherwood Creek.

Table of distances and fall on Buffalo Fork of White River.

From	To	Stadia distance in miles	Fall in feet
Boxley, Sec. 10, T. 15 N., R. 23 W.	Mouth of Cecils Creek	20.55	229.66
Mouth of Cecils Creek	Mouth of Little Buffalo	12.03	94.29
Mouth of Little Buffalo	Mouth of Richland Creek	22.71	96.98
Mouth of Richland Creek	Gilbert, Mo. & N. Ark. railroad bridge (U. S. G. S. gaging station)	23.00	102.22
Gilbert, Mo. & N. Ark. railroad bridge (U. S. G. S. gaging station)	West side of Horseshoe Bend	25.81	83.26
West side of Horseshoe Bend	East side of Horseshoe Bend	7.08	20.82
East side of Horseshoe Bend	Mouth of Buffalo Fork of White River	19.42	58.95
Total		131.00	686.18

Average fall per mile from Boxley to mouth of Buffalo Fork of White River.....	5.23
Approximate area drained by Buffalo Fork of White River at Mo. & N. Ark. railroad bridge near Gilbert (U. S. G. S. gaging station), square miles.....	822
At mouth of river.....	1,383

The principal tributaries that empty into North Fork of White River from the left are Otter Creek, Big Creek, and Bennetts Creek; from the right, Fall Creek and Pigeon Creek.

Table of distances and fall on North Fork of White River.

From	To	Stadia distance in miles	Fall in feet
Smiths Ferry	Buzzards Roost Falls	4.75	21.68
Buzzards Roost Falls	Mo. & N. Ark. railroad bridge at Norfolk	13.95	57.28
Total		18.60	78.96

Average fall per mile..... 4.24
 Approximate area drained by North Fork at Smiths Ferry, 898 sq. mi.
 Approximate area drained by North Fork at Norfolk.....1,642 sq. mi.

Elevations of water surface of White River, Arkansas, above sea level at Gulf, from near Habberton to the State line.—Continued.

Distance Feet	Difference in elevation. Feet	Corrected elevation. Water surface	Remarks.
.....	1,242.00	U. S. G. S. B. M., near Haberton; datum, Fayetteville.
10,750	1.49	1,103.04	Low water surface at Oxford Ford.
6,500	2.67	1,101.55	Fayetteville-Goshen wagon bridge.
18,500	11.53	1,098.88	
44,000	18.25	1,087.35	
17,000	7.24	1,069.10	Blands Ferry. Checked on U. S. G. S. B. M. Elevation 1,399; datum, Fayetteville.
15,500	10.46	1,061.86	Near mouth of War Eagle Creek.
34,000	7.75	1,051.40	Mouth of Hickory Creek.
11,000	11.54	1,043.65	Near Monte Ne.
23,000	11.09	1,032.11	Horseshoe Bend.
25,000	12.44	1,021.02	Disneys Ferry. Checked on U. S. G. S. B. M. Elevation 1,064; datum, Fayetteville.
41,000	21.90	1,008.58	Mouth of Prairie Creek. 3,000 feet above Fords Creek.
13,000	6.40	986.68	
52,750	22.41	980.28	Jennings Ford.
52,745	21.90	957.87	Knox Ferry. Checked on U. S. G. S. B. M. Elevation 1,016; datum, Fayetteville.
42,250	27.27	935.97	Huffmans Ford.
		908.70	Blue Springs.

Elevations of water surface of White River, Arkansas, above sea level at Gulf, from near Habberton to the State line.—Concluded.

Distance Feet	Difference in Elevation. Feet	Corrected elevation. Water surface	Remarks
14,500	7.20	901.50	Horseshoe Bend.
22,500	11.40	890.10	Beaver, Mo. & N. Ark. R. R. bridge. Checked on U. S. G. S. B. M. Elevation 929; datum, Fayetteville. State line.
19,750	8.20	881.90	Temporary B. M. about 4,500 feet below State line in Missouri. Cross on sycamore tree about 5 feet above ground, with 16-penny wire nail driven in center. Tree stands on right bank of river about 50 feet from low water.
4,500	2.72	884.62	

UPPER WHITE RIVER, NEAR BEAVER, ARK.

A gaging station was established by the Water Resources Branch of the United States Geological Survey, July 17, 1909, at the Missouri & North Arkansas railroad bridge across White River, near Beaver. It is a standard chain gage, the chain being 50.11 feet long to the upper marker, and 30.11 feet long to the lower marker. Bench mark No. 1 is the top of the left up-stream corner of cap stone of right abutment, marked "B. M." in black paint. Elevation, 40.72 feet above zero of the gage. Bench mark No. 2 is the top of the left up-stream corner of up-stream stone bridge seat of middle pier, marked "B. M." with black paint. Elevation, 42.37 feet above zero of the gage. The elevations, above sea-level, of the bench marks have not been determined.

The records of the United States Weather Bureau for periods varying from 15 to 28 years at Mossville, Fayetteville, Rogers and Dodd City show an average annual precipitation of about 48 inches. Assuming this to be the average for the area drained by upper White River, there would be an annual precipitation of 133.8 billion cubic feet on the 1,200 square miles drained by White River, at Beaver, or 4,245.12 second-feet per year. This area has

steep slopes with no swamp storage. It is well timbered between cultivated fields. Probably about 25 per cent of the entire area is in cultivation.

This portion of the river is very crooked, there being about 87 miles of river between Habberton and the State line, a distance of only 32 miles on a line. The immediate banks are 12 to 15 feet high.

Considerable power may be realized from this section of the stream by building a series of dams ten to twelve feet high at intervals of five or six miles. At each of these dams a suitable turbine and electric generator could be installed, and the energy thus developed collected at a centrally located station where suitable step-up transformers would raise the potential of the electric current to, say 60,000 volts for long distance transmission. Each of these small generating stations could be cheaply constructed. The space required for machinery would be very small, and dam sites are easily found, as the bed of the river is at most points rock. The dams being low, very little farm land would be inundated in ordinary stages of the river. There should also be constructed a number of storage reservoirs higher up the river to hold back the flood water. In the operation of such a chain of stations, one man supplied with a motorcycle could care for several.

This stretch of the river is not navigable, and is not used for floating timber.

Daily gage height, in feet, of White River, near Beaver, Arkansas, for part of 1909-1910. Observer, Reno N. Lowe.—Continued.

	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	No	Dec.
1909												
1								3.58	3.2	3.05	3.25	3.7
2								3.5	3.2	3.05	3.25	3.7
3								3.5	3.2	3.05	3.25	3.7
4								3.5	3.2	3.05	3.25	3.72
5								3.5	3.2	3.05	3.55	6.37
6								3.48	3.25	3.05	4.4	8.85
7								3.42	3.25	3.05	3.85	7.35
8								3.4	3.25	3.05	4.25	6.12
9								3.4	3.2	3.25	4.2	5.57
10								3.4	3.18	3.25	4.0	5.2
11								3.4	3.18	3.2	3.8	4.97
12								3.4	3.18	3.15	3.7	4.82
13								3.35	3.18	3.15	3.65	4.7
14								3.35	3.2	3.25	3.65	4.7
15								3.3	3.18	3.15	3.6	4.65
16								3.3	3.15	3.15	3.85	4.6
17								3.3	3.1	3.15	4.1	4.53
18							4.20	3.3	3.1	3.15	4.25	4.45
19							4.05	3.3	3.1	3.2	4.7	4.35
20							4.00	3.30	3.1	3.25	4.55	4.3
21							3.9	3.25	3.12	3.3	4.35	4.3
22							3.9	3.22	3.15	3.45	4.15	4.22
23							3.8	3.2	3.12	3.45	4.07	4.22
24							3.8	3.2	3.12	3.4	4.00	4.1
25							3.7	3.2	3.1	3.35	3.9	4.0
26							3.7	3.2	3.1	3.3	3.85	4.05
27							3.7	3.2	3.1	3.25	3.72	4.05
28							3.7	3.2	3.1	3.25	3.7	4.0
29							3.6	3.25	3.1	3.25	3.7	4.0
30							3.6	3.25	3.1	3.25	3.7	4.25
31							3.6	3.22	3.1	3.3	3.9

Daily gage height, in feet, of White River, near Beaver, Arkansas, for part of 1909-1910. Observer, Reno N. Lowe.—Concluded.

	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
1910												
1	3.9	4.1	8.15	6.3	4.8	6.1	5.4	4.05	3.7
2	3.8	4.05	6.9	5.55	4.7	5.95	5.1	4.0	3.8
3	3.8	4.0	6.4	5.35	4.6	5.55	4.9	4.0	3.8
4	3.8	4.0	5.95	5.15	4.5	5.35	5.7	3.95	3.85
5	3.8	4.0	5.65	5.25	4.45	5.15	5.35	3.9	3.8
6	3.9	3.9	5.45	5.00	4.4	4.95	5.1	3.9	4.15
7	4.45	3.9	5.27	6.8	4.4	4.9	4.75	4.8	4.3
8	4.5	3.9	5.05	6.35	4.4	5.2	4.75	6.5	4.05
9	4.4	3.9	5.0	6.05	4.4	5.15	4.9	6.4	4.15
10	4.2	3.9	5.05	6.7	4.45	5.65	5.0	5.5	4.15
11	4.15	3.9	4.9	6.45	4.45	5.6	5.55	5.55	4.0
12	4.0	3.8	4.8	6.75	4.35	6.15	11.75	5.15	4.0
13	4.3	3.8	4.75	9.4	4.3	5.9	12.7	5.2	3.9
14	4.45	3.8	4.7	7.6	4.2	5.5	9.8	4.85	3.8
15	4.4	3.8	4.65	7.55	4.25	5.25	7.55	4.7	3.75
16	4.4	3.9	4.55	7.7	6.75	5.05	6.65	4.55	3.7
17	4.4	3.9	4.47	7.8	19.0	4.85	6.1	4.65	3.65
18	4.4	4.0	4.4	7.5	12.4	4.65	5.7	4.5	3.6
19	4.5	4.05	4.4	6.9	9.1	4.55	5.45	4.45	3.6
20	4.4	3.95	4.33	6.5	7.95	4.55	5.25	4.25	3.6
21	4.4	4.35	4.3	6.15	7.2	4.7	5.05	4.2	3.6
22	4.4	4.5	4.25	5.95	6.95	4.6	4.85	4.2	3.6
23	4.4	4.8	4.2	5.75	7.8	4.5	4.75	4.2	3.55
24	4.4	5.0	4.2	5.55	8.4	4.65	4.65	4.15	3.5
25	4.3	5.17	4.2	5.45	8.4	4.55	4.5	4.05	3.5
26	4.3	5.35	4.1	5.25	7.8	5.05	4.4	4.0	3.5
27	4.25	6.4	4.1	5.1	7.0	5.05	4.35	3.9	3.5
28	4.2	7.9	4.1	5.0	6.5	6.0	4.25	3.85	4.3
29	4.1	4.05	4.95	6.05	6.75	4.15	3.8	4.2
30	4.1	4.35	4.8	5.75	5.75	4.2	3.8	3.95
31	4.1	5.4	5.55	4.15	3.75

Discharge measurements of White River at Beaver, Arkansas.

Date	Hydrographer	Width	Area of section	Mean velocity	Gage height	Discharge
		Feet.	Sq. ft.	Ft. per sec.	Feet.	Sec.-ft.
1909						
Aug. 3	W. N. Gladson	75	148	0.61	3.5	91*
Nov. 20	W. N. Gladson	110	497	0.80	4.55	399*
Dec. 17	W. N. Gladson	105	469	0.92	4.5	431*
1910						
May 24	W. N. Gladson	182	1324	2.39	8.61	3,618*

Remarks.

*1,000 ft. above bridge.

Rating table for White River at Mo. & N. Ark. R. R. bridge, near Beaver, Ark., from July 18, 1909, to October 1, 1910.—Continued.

Gage height	Discharge	Difference	Gage height	Discharge	Difference	Gage height	Discharge	Difference
3.00	40		5.50	986		8.00	3080	
		5			70			89
3.10	45		5.60	1056		8.10	3169	
		8			72			89
3.20	53		5.70	1128		8.20	3258	
		10			73			89
3.30	63		5.80	1201		8.30	3347	
		13			74			89
3.40	76		5.90	1275		8.40	3436	
		15			75			89
3.50	91		6.00	1350		8.50	3525	
		17			77			89
3.60	108		6.10	1427		8.60	3614	
		20			79			89
3.70	128		6.20	1506		8.70	3703	
		24			81			89
3.80	152		6.30	1587		8.80	3792	
		28			82			89
3.90	180		6.40	1669		8.90	3881	
		30			63			89
4.00	210		6.50	1752		9.00	3970	
		32			85			89
4.10	242		6.60	1837		9.10	4059	
		36			87			89
4.20	278		6.70	1924		9.20	4148	
		40			88			89
4.30	318		6.80	2012		9.30	4237	
		44			89			89
4.40	362		6.90	2101		9.40	4326	
		48			89			89
4.50	410		7.00	2190		9.50	4415	
		50			89			89
4.60	460		7.10	2279		9.60	4504	
		51			89			89
4.70	511		7.20	2368		9.70	4593	
		52			89			89
4.80	563		7.30	2457		9.80	4682	
		53			89			89
4.90	616		7.40	2546		9.90	4771	
		54			89			89
5.00	670		7.50	2635		10.00	4860	
		57			89			89
5.10	727		7.60	2724		10.10	4949	
		60			89			89
5.20	787		7.70	2813		10.20	5038	
		64			89			89
5.30	851		7.80	2902		10.30	5127	
		66			89			89
5.40	917		7.90	2991		10.40	5216	
		69			89			89

Rating table for White River at Mo. & N. Ark. R. R. bridge, near Beaver, Ark., from July 18, 1909, to October 1, 1910.—Concluded.

Gage height	Dis-charge	Differ-ence	Gage height	Dis-charge	Differ-ence	Gage height	Dis-charge	Differ-ence
10.50	5305	89	11.30	6017	89	12.10	6729	89
10.60	5394	89	11.40	6106	89	12.20	6818	89
10.70	5483	89	11.50	6195	89	12.30	6907	89
10.80	5572	89	11.60	6284	89	12.40	6996	89
10.90	5661	89	11.70	6373	89	12.50	7085	89
11.00	5750	89	11.80	6462	89	12.60	7174	89
11.10	5839	89	11.90	6551	89	12.70	7263	89
11.20	5928	89	12.00	6640	89	12.80	7352	89
						12.90	7441	89

The above table is not applicable for ice or obstructed channel conditions. It is based on four discharge measurements made during August, November, and December, 1909, and May, 1910, and is not well defined. Above gage height 7 feet the rating curve is a tangent, the difference being 89 per tenth.

Monthly discharge of White River, near Beaver, Arkansas, for 1909-1910. (Drainage area, 1,200 square miles.)

Month	Discharge in Second-Feet				Run-off
	Maximum	Minimum	Mean	Per square mile	Depth in inches on drainage area
1909					
January
February
March
April
May
June
July
August	108	53	70	.058	.066
September	63	45	51	.043	.048
October	91	45	58	.048	.055
November	511	63	201	.167	.186
December	3,881	128	596	.496	.571
The period	3,881	45	195	.160	.904
1910					
January	410	152	299	.249	.287
February	2,991	152	435	.362	.376
March	3,258	242	698	.581	.669
April	4,326	563	1,607	1.33	1.48
May	12,870	278	1,928	1.61	1.85
June	2,012	410	878	.731	.815
July	7,263	278	1,311	1.01	1.16
August	1,752	152	466	.388	.447
September	318	91	171	.142	.158
October
November
December
The period	12,870	91	866	.721	7.33

Elevations of water surface of White River, Arkansas, above sea-level at Gulf, from mouth of Bear Creek to foot of Buffalo Shoals.—Continued.

Temporary bench mark, 16-penny wire nail in cross on sycamore tree. Tree stands on right bank of river in Taney County, Missouri, about 100 feet above mouth of Bear Creek and 20 feet from low water. Elevation 612.19 feet.

Distance Feet	Difference in elevation Feet	Elevation Feet	Corrected elevation Water surface	Remarks
1,640	.08	500.00	596.61	Assumed elevation of water surface at mouth of Bear Creek about State line.
		499.92	596.53	
1,384	.60	499.32	595.93	
1,692	1.10	498.22	594.83	
1,752	1.80	496.42	593.03	
1,556	.08	496.34	592.95	
2,140	1.30	495.04	591.65	
1,754	.18	494.86	691.47	
3,218	1.71	493.15	589.76	South end of Grays Bend.
3,590	1.81	491.34	587.95	
1,504	.58	490.76	587.37	West side of Horseshoe Bend.
1,460	.74	490.02	586.63	
1,916	.12	489.90	586.51	
1,824	1.04	488.86	585.47	
1,932	.90	487.96	584.57	
1,652	.60	487.36	583.97	
1,724	.40	486.96	583.57	
3,580	1.54	485.42	582.03	
1,240	.68			

Elevations of water surface of White River, Arkansas, above sea-level at Gulf, from mouth of Bear Creek to foot of Buffalo Shoals.—Continued.

Distance Feet	Difference in elevation Feet	Elevation Feet	Corrected elevation Water surface	Remarks
1,684	1.24	484.74	581.35	
1,276	.10	483.50	580.11	
2,182	2.19	483.40	580.01	
2,320	1.50	481.21	577.82	
1,700	.02	479.71	576.32	
4,292	2.68	479.69	576.30	
1,668	.02	477.01	573.62	
1,712	.86	476.99	573.60	
2,380	1.55	476.13	572.74	
1,328	.24	474.58	571.19	
1,276	.20	474.34	570.95	East side of Horseshoe Bend, fall of 14.52 ft. across bend.
1,292	.04	474.14	570.75	
1,960	2.10	474.10	570.71	
3,412	.20	472.00	568.61	
1,600	.00	471.80	568.41	
1,436	.14	471.80	568.41	
1,736	1.78	471.66	568.27	
3,878	.09	469.88	566.49	
1,292	1.46	469.79	566.40	
1,424	1.44	468.33	564.94	
1,800	1.50	466.89	563.50	
1,604	.08	465.39	562.00	

Elevations of water surface of White River, Arkansas, above sea-level at Gulf, from mouth of Bear Creek to foot of Buffalo Shoals.—Continued.

Distance Feet	Difference in elevation Feet	Elevation Feet	Corrected elevation Water surface	Remarks
1,212	.00	465.31	561.92	
2,132	1.62	465.31	561.92	
3,896	.86	463.69	560.30	
2,940	2.34	462.83	559.44	
1,504	1.04	460.49	557.10	Mouth of West Sugarloaf Creek.
2,464	1.38	459.45	556.06	
2,320	.92	458.07	554.68	
1,962	1.34	457.15	553.76	
3,676	2.00	455.81	552.42	
4,328	.16	453.81	550.42	
6,848	.44	453.65	550.26	
1,938	2.97	453.21	549.82	Holts Ferry.
1,708	.14	450.24	546.85	
2,976	2.34	450.10	546.71	
2,368	.02	447.76	544.37	Bradleys Ferry.
2,072	1.16	447.74	544.35	
1,416	.66	446.58	543.19	
1,868	.12	445.92	552.53	
1,520	.10	445.80	552.41	
1,716	1.92	445.70	542.81	
1,880	2.30	443.78	540.89	
2,580	.30	441.48	538.09	
1,872	.38	441.18	537.79	

Elevations of water surface of White River, Arkansas, above sea-level at Gulf, from mouth of Bear Creek to foot of Buffalo Shoals.—Continued.

Distance Feet	Difference in elevation Feet	Elevation Feet	Corrected elevation Water surface	Remarks
3,644	1.70	440.80	537.41	
2,480	.40	439.10	535.71	Keesee Ferry.
4,238	.84	438.70	535.51	
2,712	1.74	437.86	534.47	
2,036	1.40	436.12	532.73	
2,624	.86	434.72	531.33	
2,480	.10	433.86	530.47	North end of bend, in Missouri.
1,940	.82	433.76	530.37	
2,584	.90	432.94	529.55	
2,076	.20	432.04	528.65	
2,120	.60	431.84	528.45	
2,264	.28	431.24	527.85	
2,872	1.86	430.96	527.57	
2,160	.28	249.10	525.71	
1,892	2.70	428.82	525.43	
2,760	2.90	426.12	522.73	
2,720	.80	423.22	519.83	Mouth of Big Creek.
2,880	.70	422.42	519.03	
2,464	.13	421.72	518.33	
2,292	2.00	421.59	518.20	
1,304	1.40	419.59	516.20	
1,808	.20	418.19	514.80	
2,168	.24	417.99	514.60	

*Elevations of water surface of White River, Arkansas,
above sea-level at Gulf, from mouth of Bear Creek
to foot of Buffalo Shoals.—Continued.*

Distance Feet	Difference in eleva- tion Feet	Elevation Feet	Corrected elevation Water surface	Remarks
2,024	.30	417.75	514.36	
2,292	.24	417.45	514.06	
2,548	.04	417.21	513.82	
2,290	.70	417.17	513.78	
2,756	4.08	416.47	513.08	Upper Bull Shoal.
1,832	.14	412.39	509.00	
1,604	.20	412.25	508.86	
2,156	.24	412.05	508.66	
1,952	.10	411.81	508.42	
1,680	.10	411.71	508.32	
1,844	1.26	411.61	508.22	Mouth of Big Music Creek.
1,628	2.46	410.35	506.96	
2,356	1.42	407.89	504.50	
2,576	.10	406.47	503.08	
2,844	.08	406.37	502.98	
2,266	3.06	406.29	502.90	Pot Shoal.
2,184	.32	403.23	499.82	
1,900	.42	402.91	499.52	
2,764	.58	402.49	499.10	
2,620	.50	401.91	498.52	
2,456	.10	401.41	498.02	
2,056	.90	401.31	497.92	
2,480	.70	400.41	497.02	

*Elevations of water surface of White River, Arkansas,
above sea-level at Gulf, from mouth of Bear Creek
to foot of Buffalo Shoals.—Continued.*

Distance Feet	Difference in eleva- tion Feet	Elevation Feet	Corrected elevation Water surface	Remarks
1,768	.46	399.71	496.32	
1,788	.56	399.25	495.86	
1,400	2.40	398.69	495.30	Upper end of McGar Shoal; 5.8 ft. fall in 2,700 ft.
1,800	3.40	396.29	492.90	
1,778	.38	392.89	489.50	
1,746	1.52	392.51	489.12	
3,200	.12	390.99	487.60	
1,776	1.48	390.87	487.48	
2,492	.40	389.39	486.00	
1,924	1.36	388.99	485.60	
2,440	.12	387.63	484.24	
2,492	.10	387.51	484.12	
2,486	1.18	387.41	484.02	
2,760	.08	386.23	482.84	
2,248	1.40	386.15	482.76	
2,488	.66	384.75	481.36	
1,916	.56	384.09	480.70	Dry Run Creek.
2,452	1.30	384.09	480.14	
3,144	.58	382.23	478.84	
1,936	2.78	381.65	478.26	Mouth of Sister Creek; 5.09 ft. fall in 3,800 ft.
1,944	2.22	378.87	475.45	
3,188	.86	376.65	473.26	

Elevations of water surface of White River, Arkansas, above sea-level at Gulf, from mouth of Bear Creek to foot of Buffalo Shoals.—Continued.

Distance Feet	Difference in elevation Feet	Elevation Feet	Corrected elevation Water surface	Remarks
		375.79	472.40	
2,702	.34	375.45	472.06	
2,480	1.80	373.65	470.26	
2,084	.40	373.25	469.86	
1,864	.82	372.43	469.04	
1,232	.28	372.15	468.76	
1,446	.06	372.09	468.70	
2,068	.04	372.05	468.66	
1,988	3.34	368.71	465.32	
2,820	.50	368.21	464.82	
1,740	.12	368.09	464.70	
2,444	.72	367.37	463.98	Bull Shoal; 6.07 ft. fall in 6,400 ft.
2,484	3.28	364.09	460.70	
2,780	1.40	362.69	459.30	
1,642	1.54	361.15	457.76	
3,120	.80	360.35	456.96	
1,784	.02	360.33	456.94	
2,240	.20	360.13	456.74	
2,900	2.80	357.33	453.94	
2,076	1.36	355.97	452.58	
2,370	.10	355.87	452.48	
2,420	.60	355.27	451.88	Collins Ferry.
2,252	1.32	353.95	450.56	
2,660	1.90			

Elevations of water surface of White River, Arkansas, above sea-level at Gulf, from mouth of Bear Creek to foot of Buffalo Shoals.—Continued.

Distance Feet	Difference in elevation Feet	Elevation Feet	Corrected elevation Water surface	Remarks
		352.05	448.66	
2,280	.06	351.99	448.60	Turkey Butt Mountain Shoal; 2.3 ft. fall in 1,600 ft.
1,792	2.30	349.69	446.30	
2,072	1.00	348.69	445.30	
2,320	1.60	347.09	443.70	
2,184	.16	346.93	443.54	
2,648	.16	346.77	443.38	Whites Ferry.
2,340	.10	346.67	443.28	
2,220	.30	346.37	442.98	Shoal; 2.42 ft. fall in 1,700 ft.
1,768	2.42	343.95	440.56	
2,364	.32	343.63	440.24	
2,464	1.82	341.81	438.42	
2,408	.96	340.85	437.46	
2,580	.48	340.37	436.98	
2,480	1.30	339.07	435.68	Wild Cat Shoal; 3.48 ft. fall in 2,800 ft.
2,808	3.48	335.59	432.20	
2,864	1.18	334.41	431.02	
2,932	.88	333.53	430.14	Mooneys Ford.
2,440	.90	332.63	429.24	
3,122	.80	331.83	428.44	
2,416	.10	331.73	428.34	Dentons Ferry.
2,088	3.70	328.03	424.64	
2,420	1.40	326.63	423.24	
1,684	.72			

Elevations of water surface of White River, Arkansas, above sea-level at Gulf, from mouth of Bear Creek to foot of Buffalo Shoals.—Continued.

Distance Feet	Difference in elevation Feet	Elevation Feet	Corrected elevation Water surface	Remarks
		325.91	422.52	
2,324	1.48	324.43	421.04	
2,748	1.10	323.33	419.94	
1,580	.36	322.97	419.58	St. L., I. M. & S. Ry. bridge, at Cotter.
2,040	.20	322.77	419.38	
2,032	.38	322.39	419.00	
1,884	.18	322.21	418.82	Red Bud Shoal; 6.3 ft. fall in 4,600 ft.
2,168	4.60	317.61	414.22	
2,448	1.76	315.85	412.46	
2,550	.60	315.25	411.86	
2,404	.08	315.17	411.78	
3,200	.50	314.67	411.28	
3,728	.04	314.63	411.24	
3,060	.32	314.31	410.92	
2,220	2.10	312.21	408.82	
2,260	.90	311.31	407.92	Crooked Creek or Rim Shoal.
2,240	4.70	306.61	403.22	
2,738	1.30	305.31	401.92	
2,116	1.70	303.61	400.22	Mouth of Crooked Creek.
6,540	1.94	301.67	398.28	
2,520	.80	300.87	397.48	
3,404	1.82	299.05	395.66	
2,900	.60	298.45	395.06	
2,960	.30			

Elevations of water surface of White River, Arkansas, above sea-level at Gulf, from mouth of Bear Creek to foot of Buffalo Shoals.—Concluded.

Distance Feet	Difference in elevation Feet	Elevation Feet	Corrected elevation Water surface	Remarks
3,140	.90	298.15	394.76	Buffalo Shoal, 11.78 ft. fall in 2.3 Miles.
2,860	1.40	297.25	393.86	
2,438	1.96	295.85	392.46	
2,446	5.68	293.89	390.50	
1,424	1.48	288.21	384.82	
		286.73	383.34	
....	422.31	U. S. G. S. B. M., monument 5 in. by 5 in. square. Lime stone 5 in. above ground on right bank of river about 500 feet above old landing.
....			

Total fall from mouth of Bear Creek to Buffalo City, 213.27 feet.
 Total distance..... 88.50 miles.
 Average fall per mile..... 2.41 feet.

WHITE RIVER NEAR BRANSON, MISSOURI.

This gaging station was established by the Water Resources Branch of the United States Geological Survey, July 19, 1909. It is located at the St. Louis, Iron Mountain & Southern Railroad bridge across White River about 600 feet above the mouth of Turkey Creek.

It is a standard chain gage attached to the guard rail of the bridge on the down-stream side. The chain is 54.88 feet from the end of the weight to the upper marker, and 34.88 feet to lower marker. The gage is located from two bench marks. Number 1 is the top of a lag screw driven in the root, on the east side of a hackberry tree at the ferry landing about 400 feet below the bridge on the right side of

the river. Elevation 20.72 feet above zero of gage. Number 2 is the center of the head of a 20-penny nail, 4.7 feet above the ground, driven horizontally in the south side of an elm tree, which stands about 25 feet east of hackberry tree. Elevation 20.66 feet above zero of gauge. Elevation above sea-level has not been determined.

Daily gage hight, in feet, of White River, near Branson, Missouri, for part of 1909-1910. Observers, Wm. Kruger and John A. Medley.—Continued.

	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Nov	Dec.
1909												
1								3.1	2.55	2.3	2.5	2.85
2								3.0	2.5	2.3	2.5	2.85
3								3.0	2.5	2.3	2.5	2.80
4								3.0	2.48	2.3	2.5	2.93
5								2.95	2.4	2.3	2.45	2.95
6								2.95	2.4	2.3	3.05	6.62
7								2.95	2.4	2.3	3.75	7.05
8								2.98	2.6	2.3	3.6	6.47
9								3.15	2.55	2.4	3.3	4.95
10								3.02	2.55	2.43	3.5	4.45
11								2.95	2.55	2.5	3.4	4.2
12								2.9	2.52	2.5	3.27	4.0
13								2.82	2.45	2.5	3.1	3.82
14								2.75	2.5	2.5	3.1	3.7
15								2.75	2.45	2.5	3.1	3.6
16								2.7	2.45	2.5	3.65	3.55
17								2.65	2.45	2.5	3.73	3.5
18								2.6	2.45	2.5	3.8	3.4
19								4.00	2.6	2.4	2.45	3.7
20								3.88	2.55	2.4	2.5	3.65
21								3.75	2.6	2.4	2.5	3.60
22								3.65	2.6	2.35	2.5	3.4
23								3.6	2.6	2.35	2.5	3.3
24								3.48	2.55	2.38	2.5	3.25
25								3.4	2.6	2.4	2.5	3.1
26								3.35	2.5	2.4	2.5	3.0
27								3.3	2.5	2.4	2.5	3.0
28								3.25	2.5	2.3	2.5	3.0
29								3.25	2.5	2.3	2.5	2.92
30								3.2	2.48	2.3	2.5	2.8
31								3.2	2.45	2.3	2.5	3.0

Daily gage hight, in feet, of White River, near Branson, Missouri, for part of 1909-1910. Observers, Wm. Kruger and John A. Medley.—Concluded.

	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Nov	Dec.
1910												
1	2.95	3.0	7.35	2.6	3.0	4.05	4.2	3.3	2.25
2	2.95	3.0	7.25	4.3	3.05	4.0	4.0	3.2	2.5
3	2.95	3.0	6.75	4.0	2.50	4.10	4.0	3.0	3.15
4	2.9	3.0	5.85	3.85	2.55	4.35	4.25	3.0	3.05
5	3.0	2.9	5.45	3.8	2.4	5.9	4.45	3.0	2.9
6	3.0	2.9	4.6	4.15	2.55	6.05	4.15	2.85	3.6
7	3.0	2.9	3.65	4.15	2.75	5.45	4.45	2.85	3.6
8	3.0	2.85	4.9	4.7	3.05	7.2	4.35	3.45	3.5
9	3.2	2.85	3.4	4.95	3.95	8.9	3.85	5.1	3.3
10	3.2	2.8	3.45	4.85	3.9	10.25	3.55	6.5	3.2
11	3.15	2.8	4.1	4.8	3.35	9.55	4.4	5.6	3.2
12	3.1	2.8	3.7	4.1	2.95	7.9	9.1	4.55	2.95
13	3.15	2.75	3.5	4.15	2.7	6.35	11.8	4.15	2.6
14	3.25	2.7	3.4	6.35	3.0	6.0	9.95	3.9	2.7
15	3.4	2.7	3.4	5.45	2.95	5.3	8.0	3.85	2.55
16	3.5	2.7	3.15	5.10	3.1	4.7	5.95	3.8	2.5
17	4.0	2.7	2.85	5.6	10.6	4.15	5.3	3.7	2.4
18	3.8	2.7	2.8	5.1	15.2	4.15	5.15	3.05	2.5
19	3.65	2.7	2.95	5.2	9.9	3.6	4.25	3.35	2.5
20	3.6	3.15	2.95	4.7	7.55	3.35	4.0	3.6	2.45
21	3.6	3.35	2.9	4.35	6.4	3.5	3.85	3.45	2.4
22	3.5	5.1	2.6	3.9	6.0	3.4	3.75	3.3	2.5
23	3.4	6.35	2.6	3.6	5.4	3.45	3.7	3.15	2.5
24	3.35	5.1	2.45	3.25	5.35	3.35	3.7	3.0	2.5
25	3.35	4.8	2.4	3.4	6.25	3.1	3.95	2.9	2.45
26	3.3	3.45	2.35	3.1	6.7	3.4	3.5	2.9	2.4
27	3.2	5.27	2.53	3.15	6.55	3.5	3.3	2.85	2.65
28	3.2	6.3	2.6	3.0	5.7	3.8	3.0	2.7	3.0
29	3.2	2.5	3.05	5.0	4.75	2.9	2.6	2.85
30	3.15	2.4	3.0	4.65	5.05	2.95	2.6	2.6
31	3.0	2.27	4.3	3.35	2.35

Discharge measurements of White River, near Branson, Missouri.

Date	Hydrographer	Width	Area of section	Mean velocity	Gage height	Dis-charge
		Feet.	Sq. ft.	Ft. per sec.	Feet.	Sec.-ft.
1909						
Nov. 22	W. N. Gladson	270	946	1.36	3.3	1284*
Dec. 18	W. N. Gladson	268	972	1.14	3.4	1114*
1910						
May 17	W. N. Gladson	375	3157	5.23	10.48	17519†

*500 ft. below bridge.

†At railway bridge.

Rating table for White River at Missouri Pacific Railway bridge, near Branson, Missouri, from July 19, 1909, to October 1, 1910.—Continued.

Gage height	Dis-charge	Differ-ence	Gage height	Dis-charge	Differ-ence	Gage height	Dis-charge	Differ-ence
2.00	300	38	3.50	1141	73	5.00	3000	156
2.10	338	40	3.60	1214	77	5.10	3156	157
2.20	378	42	3.70	1291	86	5.20	3313	158
2.30	420	46	3.80	1377	98	5.30	3471	159
2.40	466	48	3.90	1475	125	5.40	3630	165
2.50	514	50	4.00	1600	128	5.50	3795	169
2.60	564	53	4.10	1728	130	5.60	3964	175
2.70	617	58	4.20	1858	133	5.70	4139	184
2.80	675	60	4.30	1991	135	5.80	2323	187
2.90	735	65	4.40	2126	138	5.90	4510	190
3.00	800	66	4.50	2264	140	6.00	4700	195
3.10	866	67	4.60	2404	143	6.10	4895	198
2.20	933	68	4.70	2547	147	7.20	5093	200
3.30	1001	69	4.80	2694	152	6.30	5293	204
3.40	1070	71	4.90	2846	154	6.40	5497	206

Rating table for White River at Missouri Pacific Railway bridge, near Branson, Missouri, from July 19, 1909, to October 1, 1910.—Concluded.

Gage height	Dis-charge	Differ-ence	Gage height	Dis-charge	Differ-ence	Gage height	Dis-charge	Differ-ence
6.50	5703	208	8.40	10508	280	10.20	16485	350
6.60	5911	212	8.50	10788	287	10.30	16835	350
6.70	6123	217	8.60	11069	290	10.40	17185	350
6.80	6340	225	8.70	11359	300	10.50	17535	350
6.90	6565	235	8.80	11659	318	10.60	17885	350
7.00	6800	245	8.90	11977	323	10.70	18235	350
7.10	7045	247	9.00	12300	335	10.80	18585	350
7.20	7292	249	9.10	12635	350	10.90	18935	350
7.30	7541	254	9.20	12985	350	11.00	19285	350
7.40	7795	260	9.30	13335	350	11.10	19635	350
7.50	8055	263	9.40	13685	350	11.20	19985	350
7.60	8318	266	9.50	14035	350	11.30	20335	350
7.70	8584	269	9.60	14385	350	11.40	20685	350
7.80	8853	272	9.70	14735	350	11.50	21035	350
7.90	9125	275	9.80	15085	350	11.60	21385	350
8.00	9400	276	9.90	15435	350	11.70	21735	350
8.10	9676	276	10.00	15785	350	11.80	22085	350
8.20	9952	277	10.10	16135	350	11.90	22435	350
8.30	10229	279						

The above table is not applicable for ice or obstructed channel conditions. It is based on three discharge measurements made during November and December, 1909, and May, 1910, and is not well defined. Above gage height 10 feet the rating curve is a tangent, the difference being 350 per tenth.

Monthly discharge of White River near Branson, Missouri,
for 1909-1910. (Drainage area, 2,730 square miles.)

Month	Discharge in Second-Feet				Run-off Depth in inches on drainage area
	Maximum	Minimum	Mean	Per square mile	
1909					
January
February
March
April
May
June
July
August	933	514	664	.243	.28
September	564	420	493	.180	.20
October	514	420	486	.178	.21
November	1,377	514	860	.315	.35
December	7 045	675	1,584	.580	.67
The period	7045	420	817	.299	1.69
1910					
January	1,377	735	991	.363	.42
February	5,497	617	1,422	.521	.54
March	7,795	420	1,772	.649	.75
April	5,497	564	2,065	.756	.84
May	33,985	466	4,386	1.60	1.84
June	16,835	866	3,935	1.44	1.61
July	22,085	735	3,486	1.27	1.46
August	5,703	564	1,325	.485	.56
September	1,214	420	689	.252	.28
October
November
December
The period	33,985	420	20,071	.735	.748

WHITE RIVER NEAR LEAD HILL, ARKANSAS.

This gaging station was located October 1, 1909, by the Water Resources Branch of the United States Geological Survey at Bradleys Ferry, about 6 miles northeast from Lead Hill. It is a staff gage in two sections. The first section is nailed to the down-stream side of a sycamore tree about 100 feet below the ferry cable on the right bank. The second section is nailed to an oak tree which stands 8 feet north and 20 feet west of the tree to which the first

section is nailed. The first section of the gage is located with reference to bench mark No. 1, which is a 40-penny wire nail driven in the sycamore tree, to which it is fastened. Elevation, 6.37 feet above zero of gage. Bench mark No. 2 is a 40-penny wire nail driven in the oak tree to which the second section of the gage is attached. Elevation, 18 feet above zero of the gage. The elevations above sea-level have not been established.

Daily gage hight, in feet, of White River, at Bradleys
Ferry, Arkansas, for part of 1909-1910.
Observer, Jerry Upshaw.—Continued.

	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Nov	Dec.
1909												
1	1.1	1.3	1.8
2	1.1	1.3	1.7
3	1.1	1.3	1.8
4	1.1	1.3	1.8
5	1.1	1.3	4.15
6	1.1	3.5	5.8
7	1.1	1.85	5.6
8	1.1	2.6	6.0
9	1.45	2.3	4.9
10	1.2	2.2	4.1
11	1.2	2.4	3.55
12	1.25	2.3	3.45
13	1.25	2.1	3.2
14	1.3	2.0	3.1
15	1.3	2.0	3.1
16	1.2	2.0	2.8
17	1.2	2.5	2.7
18	1.2	2.7	2.6
19	1.2	2.8	2.5
20	1.2	2.55	2.4
21	1.3	2.6	2.3
22	1.3	2.5	2.0
23	1.3	2.4	2.0
24	1.3	2.2	2.1
25	1.3	2.1	2.0
26	1.3	2.0	1.8
27	1.3	1.9	1.7
28	1.3	1.8	1.7
29	1.3	1.8	1.6
30	1.2	1.7	1.6
31	1.3	2.0

Daily gage height, in feet, of White River, at Bradleys Ferry, Arkansas, for part of 1909-1910.
Observer, Jerry Upshaw.—Concluded.

	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
1910												
1	1.9	2.0	6.4	2.25	2.6	3.7	3.9	2.7	1.7			
2	1.9	2.0	6.8	2.6	2.5	3.6	3.6	2.5	2.0			
3	1.8	1.9	6.1	3.2	2.4	3.4	3.15	2.3	2.3			
4	1.7	1.9	5.35	3.0	2.3	3.2	3.2	2.2	2.7			
5	1.8	1.9	4.8	3.0	2.2	3.85	3.55	2.1	2.5			
6	1.4	1.9	4.45	3.6	2.2	4.85	3.45	2.0	2.95			
7	1.8	1.8	4.05	4.0	2.3	4.8	3.35	2.0	3.3			
8	1.8	1.8	3.8	4.1	2.3	4.95	3.25	2.0	3.0			
9	1.9	1.8	3.6	4.45	3.2	7.7	3.1	5.65	2.8			
10	1.9	1.7	3.7	4.2	3.45	9.7	3.1	6.75	2.7			
11	2.2	1.7	4.4	4.0	3.3	9.8	3.05	5.75	2.6			
12	2.0	1.7	4.25	4.05	3.1	7.45	9.4	4.75	2.45			
13	2.0	1.7	4.0	4.0	3.0	5.85	10.3	3.95	2.25			
14	2.0	1.7	3.9	4.65	2.8	5.55	10.75	3.65	2.2			
15	2.55	1.6	3.75	5.05	2.6	4.7	8.5	3.6	2.1			
16	2.9	1.6	3.55	4.6	2.85	4.2	6.35	3.3	2.0			
17	3.1	1.6	3.44	4.75	5.2	4.0	5.75	3.1	1.9			
18	3.1	1.6	3.15	4.8	13.2	3.7	5.7	3.55	1.8			
19	2.9	1.6	3.0	4.7	12.5	3.45	4.75	3.3	1.8			
20	2.8	1.7	2.9	4.6	7.5	3.2	4.1	3.05	1.7			
21	2.7	2.0	2.8	4.25	6.65	3.1	3.65	2.8	1.7			
22	2.6	2.45	2.7	3.95	5.3	3.0	3.3	2.95	1.6			
23	2.6	3.0	2.6	3.7	4.8	3.0	3.2	2.55	1.6			
24	2.5	3.2	2.5	3.5	4.5	2.9	3.3	2.45	1.5			
25	2.5	3.15	2.4	3.3	4.6	2.7	3.2	2.4	1.5			
26	2.4	3.35	2.4	3.15	5.0	2.7	3.2	2.25	1.5			
27	2.3	5.05	2.3	3.0	5.1	2.7	3.0	2.1	1.9			
28	2.2	6.1	2.2	2.9	4.5	2.75	2.75	2.0	1.85			
29	2.2		2.2	2.8	4.2	3.1	2.6	2.0	2.2			
30	2.1		2.2	2.7	4.0	4.0	2.5	1.9	2.0			
31	2.0		2.2		3.75	3.9	2.65	1.8				

Discharge measurements of White River, near Lead Hill, Arkansas.

Date	Hydrographer	Width	Area of section	Mean velocity	Gage height	Discharge.
		Feet.	Sq. ft.	Ft. per sec.	Feet.	Sec.-ft.
1909						
Aug. 19	W. N. Gladson	300	794	0.59	1.1	468
Nov. 22	W. N. Gladson	300	936	1.49	2.4	1398
Dec. 20	W. N. Gladson	292	905	1.48	2.4	1348
1910						
May 17	W. N. Gladson	320	2095	4.02	5.95	8700

Rating Table for White River at Bradleys Ferry, near Lead Hill, Arkansas, from October 1, 1909, to October 1, 1910.—Continued.

Gage height	Discharge	Difference	Gage height	Discharge	Difference	Gage height	Discharge	Difference
1.00	300		2.60	1663		4.20	4525	
		35			118			215
1.10	335		2.70	1781		4.30	4740	
		46			127			217
1.20	381		2.80	1908		4.40	4957	
		54			137			219
1.30	435		2.90	2045		4.50	5176	
		62			155			220
1.40	497		3.00	2200		4.60	5396	
		68			156			221
1.50	565		3.10	2356		4.70	5617	
		73			163			223
1.60	638		3.20	2519		4.80	5840	
		80			176			228
1.70	718		3.30	2695		4.90	6068	
		86			186			232
1.80	804		3.40	2881		5.00	6300	
		94			194			243
1.90	898		3.50	3075		5.10	6543	
		102			198			245
2.00	1000		3.60	3273		5.20	6788	
		108			203			248
2.10	1108		3.70	3476		5.30	7036	
		109			206			249
2.20	1217		3.80	3682		5.40	7285	
		110			208			250
2.30	1327		3.90	3890		5.50	7535	
		111			210			251
2.40	1438		4.00	4100		5.60	7786	
		112			212			252
2.50	1550		4.10	4312		5.70	8038	
		113			213			253

Rating Table for White River at Bradleys Ferry, near Lead Hill, Arkansas, from October 1, 1909, to October 1, 1910.—Concluded.

Gage height	Dis-charge	Differ-ence	Gage height	Dis-charge	Differ-ence	Gage height	Dis-charge	Differ-ence
5.80	8291	254	7.60	13149	285	9.30	18070	290
5.90	8545	255	7.70	13434	287	9.40	18360	290
6.00	8800	259	7.80	13721	289	9.50	18650	290
6.10	9059	260	7.90	14010	290	9.60	18940	290
6.20	9319	261	8.00	14300	290	9.70	19230	290
6.30	9580	263	8.10	14590	290	9.80	19520	290
6.40	9843	265	8.20	14880	290	9.90	19810	290
6.50	10108	268	8.30	15170	290	10.00	20100	290
6.60	10376	270	8.40	15460	290	10.10	20390	290
6.70	10646	272	8.50	15750	290	10.20	20680	290
6.80	10918	274	8.60	16040	290	10.30	20790	290
6.90	11192	276	8.70	16330	290	10.40	21260	290
7.00	11468	277	8.80	16620	290	10.50	21550	290
7.10	11745	278	8.90	16910	290	10.60	21840	290
7.20	12023	280	9.00	17200	290	10.70	22130	290
7.30	12303	281	9.10	17490	290	10.80	22420	290
7.40	12584	282	9.20	17780	290	10.90	22710	290
7.50	12866	283						

The above table is not applicable for ice or obstructed channel conditions. It is based on four discharge measurements made during August, November and December, 1909, and May, 1910, and is fairly well defined between gage heights 1 foot and 6 feet. Above gage height 8 feet the rating curve is a tangent, the difference being 290 per tenth.

Monthly discharge of White River at Bradleys Ferry, near Lead Hill, Arkansas, for 1919-1910. (Drainage area, 4,512 square miles.)

Month	Discharge in Second-Feet				Run-off Depth in inches on drainage area
	Maximum	Minimum	Mean	Per square mile	
1909					
January
February
March
April
May
June
July
August
September
October	565	335	399	.088	.10
November	1,908	435	1,120	.248	.28
December	8,291	638	2,412	.534	.62
The period	8,291	335	1,310	.290	.98
1910					
January	2,356	497	1,294	.286	.33
February	9,059	638	1,554	.344	.36
March	10,918	1,217	3,602	.798	.92
April	6,543	1,327	3,746	.830	.93
May	29,380	1,217	5,494	1.21	1.40
June	19,520	1,781	5,373	1.19	1.32
July	22,420	1,550	5,508	1.22	1.41
August	10,918	804	2,650	.587	.68
September	2,695	565	1,198	.265	.30
October
November
December
The period	29,380	497	3,379	.748	7.61

Water power possibilities. On the reach of White River between Bradleys Ferry gaging station and the State line in Boone County, there is a total fall of about 52 feet in 21 miles. The immediate banks of the channel are from 20 to 30 feet high. As there are only very small tributaries emptying into the river in this distance, the flow in second-feet will be approximately constant at every point for any given date. Assuming the flow recorded at Bradleys Ferry, since the gage was established, to be the flow for all points between this station and the State line in Boone County, two or three dams suitably located to utilize this fall would be capable of developing power as shown in the following table:

Table of available water power between State line and
Bradleys Ferry.

Flow in second-feet, October, 1909, to October, 1910.		Horsepower.			
Minimum	Maximum	Minimum	Maximum	Average of Minimum and Maximum.	
335	29,380	1583	138,887	7023	

WHITE RIVER AT COTTER, ARKANSAS.

A standard chain gage was installed on the up-stream guard rail of the St. Louis, Iron Mountain & Southern Railroad bridge across White River, near Cotter, on July 21, 1909. The chain of this gage is 43.45 feet long to the lower marker, and 63.45 feet to the upper marker, and is located in position by the following bench marks. Bench mark No. 1 is the top of a lag screw on the west side of a leaning sycamore tree on the edge of the river bank just above the bridge. Elevation, 15.36 feet above zero of gage. Bench mark No. 2 is the top of a lag screw on south side of the west tree of twin sycamore trees about 60 feet north of bench mark No. 1. Elevation, 20.58 feet above zero of gage.

Daily gage height, in feet, of White River, at Cotter, Arkansas for part of 1909-1910. Observer, S. Butterfield.—
Continued.

	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
1909												
1								2.65	1.4	1.0	1.5	2.2
2								2.6	1.4	1.0	1.45	2.2
3								2.45	1.4	1.0	1.4	2.15
4								2.4	1.3	1.0	1.3	2.1
5								2.3	1.4	1.0	1.3	3.35
6								2.3	1.4	1.0	1.95	6.1
7								3.15	1.4	1.0	3.35	6.9
8								2.45	1.4	1.0	2.45	6.8
9								2.35	1.3	1.35	3.05	6.6
10								2.2	1.3	1.65	2.95	5.55
11								2.1	1.3	1.45	2.7	5.0
12								2.3	1.3	1.2	2.9	4.7
13								2.25	1.3	1.2	2.65	4.35
14								2.1	2.45	1.2	2.55	4.2
15								2.0	1.4	1.20	2.45	3.95
16								2.0	1.3	1.25	2.4	3.75
17								1.9	1.2	1.3	2.55	3.5
18								1.8	1.2	1.3	3.0	3.35
19								1.8	1.2	1.2	3.25	3.3
20								1.75	1.2	1.2	3.35	3.15
21								3.9	1.65	1.3	3.2	3.0
22								3.7	1.6	1.3	3.1	3.0
23								3.5	1.6	1.25	3.05	2.9
24								3.4	1.5	1.2	3.0	2.8
25								3.2	1.4	1.2	2.75	2.65
26								3.1	1.4	1.2	2.55	2.5
27								3.1	1.4	1.2	2.45	2.5
28								3.0	1.3	1.1	2.3	2.5
29								2.85	1.3	1.1	2.3	2.35
30								2.75	1.3	1.0	2.2	2.3
31								2.7	1.3	1.4	2.2	2.2

Daily gage height, in feet, of White River, at Cotter, Arkansas, for part of 1909-1910. Observer, S. Butterfield.—Concluded.

	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
1910												
1	2.2	2.5	7.7	2.7	3.5	4.85	5.15	3.5	2.65
2	2.35	2.4	7.65	2.75	3.4	4.55	5.05	3.6	2.45
3	2.4	2.4	7.75	3.25	3.3	4.4	4.5	3.45	2.8
4	2.4	2.4	7.0	4.1	3.15	4.4	4.25	3.2	3.4
5	2.4	2.4	6.35	3.95	3.1	4.6	4.25	3.0	3.7
6	2.4	2.3	5.85	3.95	3.1	4.95	4.65	2.9	4.05
7	2.35	2.3	5.4	4.7	3.1	5.65	4.6	2.9	4.5
8	2.3	2.3	5.1	5.05	3.0	6.1	4.35	2.9	4.4
9	2.3	2.2	4.85	5.8	3.15	9.2	4.1	3.05	4.15
10	2.4	2.2	4.65	5.45	4.15	10.95	6.25	6.55	4.0
11	2.5	2.2	5.2	5.25	4.4	10.8	5.0	7.35	3.75
12	2.8	2.2	5.65	5.1	4.15	10.1	5.05	6.5	3.55
13	2.8	2.1	5.35	5.1	3.95	8.05	13.15	5.55	3.35
14	2.65	2.1	5.15	5.1	3.75	7.15	12.35	5.05	3.2
15	2.6	2.1	4.85	6.15	3.55	6.55	10.95	4.75	3.05
16	3.0	2.1	4.65	6.8	3.65	6.0	9.65	4.45	2.85
17	3.7	2.0	4.45	6.15	4.7	5.5	7.05	4.4	2.8
18	3.9	2.0	4.25	6.1	9.3	5.1	6.75	4.35	2.65
19	3.85	1.9	4.05	5.95	14.3	4.75	6.05	4.35	2.6
20	3.7	2.1	3.9	5.9	11.15	4.55	5.8	4.15	2.55
21	3.55	2.2	3.85	5.7	8.1	4.35	5.3	4.0	2.4
22	3.35	2.55	3.65	5.2	7.05	4.15	4.75	3.9	2.35
23	3.3	3.25	3.5	4.8	6.4	4.0	4.6	3.85	2.3
24	3.2	4.0	3.4	4.7	6.0	3.85	4.6	3.65	2.2
25	3.1	4.1	3.25	4.55	5.75	3.65	4.6	3.45	2.2
26	3.0	4.25	3.15	4.35	6.35	3.6	4.45	3.25	2.2
27	3.0	6.1	3.1	4.15	6.3	3.6	4.35	3.05	2.6
28	2.9	7.55	3.0	3.95	6.1	3.6	4.0	3.0	2.75
29	2.8	2.9	3.75	5.55	3.75	3.75	2.85	2.6
30	2.7	2.75	3.55	5.3	4.3	3.55	2.75	3.1
31	2.7	2.7	5.3	3.5	2.7

Discharge measurements of White River, near Cotter, Arkansas.

Date.	Hydrographer.	Width.	Area of section	Mean velocity	Gage height	Dis-charge.
		Feet.	Sq. ft.	Ft. per sec.	Feet.	Sec.-ft.
1909						
Aug. 31	W. N. Gladson	160	308	1.47	1.4	455*
Nov. 24	W. N. Gladson	420	1088	1.59	2.9	1737†
Dec. 22	W. N. Gladson	400	1029	1.61	2.85	1658†
1910						
May 18	W. N. Gladson	590	5559	4.81	11.65	28619‡

*300 ft. below bridge.
†500 ft. above bridge.
‡At railway bridge.

Rating table for White River at Missouri Pacific Railway bridge, near Cotter, Arkansas, from July 21, 1909, to October 1, 1910.—Continued.

Gage height	Dis-charge	Differ-ence	Gage height	Dis-charge	Differ-ence	Gage height	Dis-charge	Differ-ence
1.00	300	48	3.50	2413	131	6.00	7200	250
1.10	348	52	3.60	2544	134	6.10	7450	255
1.20	400	56	3.70	2678	137	6.20	7705	262
1.30	456	59	3.80	2815	140	6.30	7967	270
1.40	515	62	3.90	2955	145	6.40	8237	280
1.50	577	64	4.00	3100	148	6.50	8517	290
1.60	641	64	4.10	3248	151	6.60	8807	310
1.70	705	65	4.20	3399	155	6.70	9177	318
1.80	770	65	4.30	3554	164	6.80	9435	330
1.90	835	65	4.40	3718	175	6.90	9765	335
2.00	900	68	4.50	3893	185	7.00	10100	338
2.10	968	71	4.60	4078	195	7.10	10438	340
2.20	1039	76	4.70	4273	200	7.20	10778	342
2.30	1115	80	4.80	4473	212	7.30	11120	346
2.40	1195	89	4.90	4685	215	7.40	11466	348
2.50	1284	92	5.00	4900	218	7.50	11814	350
2.60	1376	97	5.10	5118	221	7.60	12164	352
2.70	1473	104	5.20	5339	223	7.70	12516	358
2.80	1577	109	5.30	5562	225	7.80	12874	362
2.90	1691	114	5.40	5787	228	7.90	13236	364
3.00	1800	116	5.50	6015	230	8.00	13600	375
3.10	1916	119	5.60	6245	232	8.10	13975	386
3.20	2035	124	5.70	6477	235	8.20	14361	392
3.30	2159	126	5.80	6712	241	8.30	14753	410
3.40	2285	128	5.90	6953	247	8.40	15163	410

Rating table for White River at Missouri Pacific Railway bridge, near Cotter, Arkansas, from July 21, 1909, to October 1, 1910.—Concluded.

Gage height	Dis-charge	Differ-ence	Gage height	Dis-charge	Differ-ence	Gage height	Dis-charge	Differ-ence
8.50	15573		9.40	19263		10.20	22543	
		410			410			410
8.60	15983		9.50	19673		10.30	22953	
		410			410			410
8.70	16393		9.60	20083		10.40	23363	
		410			410			410
8.80	16803		9.70	20493		10.50	23773	
		410			410			410
8.90	17213		9.80	20903		10.60	24183	
		410			410			410
9.00	17623		9.90	21313		10.70	24593	
		410			410			410
9.10	18033		10.00	21723		10.80	25003	
		410			410			410
9.20	18443		10.10	22133		10.90	25413	
		410			410			410
9.30	18853							
		410						

The above table is not applicable for ice or obstructed channel conditions. It is based on four discharge measurements made during August, November and December, 1909, and May, 1910, and is fairly well defined between gage heights 1 foot and 4 feet. Above gage height 8 feet the rating curve is a tangent, the difference being 410 per tenth.

Monthly discharge of White River near Cotter, Arkansas, for 1919-1910. (Drainage area, 4,800 square miles.)

Month	Discharge in Second-Feet				Run-off Depth in inches on drainage area
	Maximum	Minimum	Mean	Per square mile	
1909					
January
February
March
April
May
June
July
August	2,035	456	878	.182	.21
September	1,284	300	472	.098	.11
October	705	300	417	.086	.10
November	2,285	456	1,397	.291	.32
December	9,765	968	3,055	.637	.73
The period	9,765	300	1,243	.258	1.46
1910					
January	2,955	1,039	1,701	.354	.41
February	12,164	835	1,968	.410	.43
March	12,874	1,473	4,890	1.01	1.16
April	9,435	1,473	4,841	1.00	1.12
May	39,353	1,800	6,994	1.45	1.67
June	25,823	2,544	6,856	1.42	1.58
July	34,843	2,413	7,783	1.62	1.87
August	11,466	1,473	3,418	.712	.82
September	3,893	1,039	1,953	.406	.45
October
November
December
The period	39,353	835	4,489	.935	9.52

In the portion of the river between Cotter and Bradleys Ferry, there is a fall of about 124 feet in a distance of 54 miles by river. The immediate banks of the stream are 20 to 30 feet high. If a number of power sites were distributed over the distance, and the entire fall made available for the average flow as shown by the records of the Cotter station, the following table indicates the available combined power:

Table of available horsepower between Bradleys Ferry and Cotter Station.

Flow in second-feet recorded at Cotter station.		Horsepower.		
Minimum	Maximum	Minimum	Maximum	Average of Minimum and Maximum
300	39,353	3,381	443,615	223,498

WHITE RIVER AT BUFFALO CITY, ARKANSAS.

Between Cotter and Buffalo City, a distance of 12 miles by river, there is a fall of about 36 feet. Assuming the same flow here as has been found at Cotter, and that all the fall would be realized at suitable dam sites, the power available would be as follows:

Table of available horsepower between Cotter and Buffalo City.

Flow in second-feet at Cotter station.		Horsepower.		
Minimum	Maximum	Minimum	Maximum	Average of Maximum and Minimum
300	39,353	981	123,791	64,886

About 10.5 feet of the above fall occurs in 1.7 miles of river at Buffalo Shoal. A 10-foot dam at Buffalo City would develop about 37,850 horsepower on the assumption of the above average flow.

White River is not navigable above Buffalo Shoal, but is used for flottage of timber, fishing boats and other small crafts. The extreme variation of gage height observed since the gage was established is 13.3 feet, but in extreme flood will reach approximately 34 feet.

Elevations of water surface of North Fork of White River, Arkansas, above sea-level at Gulf.—Continued.

Temporary bench mark at Smiths Ferry near Henderson, cross on an elm tree with a nail driven in the center, about 1 foot above the ground. Tree stands on the right bank about 100 feet above the ferry and 20 feet from the edge of low water. Elevation 460.17 feet. River gage is on the left bank just below the ferry.

Distance Feet	Difference in elevation Feet	Elevation Feet	Corrected elevation Water surface	Remarks	
Temporary bench mark 34		201.28	460.17	Assumed elevation of temporary bench mark. Water Surface.	
1,904	1.28	200.00	458.89		
4,012	1.08	198.92	457.81		
2,588	1.94	196.98	455.87		
2,640	1.64	195.34	454.23		
3,020	1.62	193.72	452.61		
2,360	2.40	191.32	450.21		
1,420	2.50	188.82	447.71		
3,240	.90	187.92	446.81		
1,904	1.40	186.52	445.41		
1,996	4.52	182.00	440.89		Buzzards Roost Falls; 8.2 ft. fall in about 4,000 ft.
2,300	3.68	178.32	437.21		
2,488	1.20	177.12	436.01		
2,380	.64	176.48	435.37		
1,820	1.60	174.88	433.77		
972	.30	174.58	433.47		
2,460	3.50	171.08	429.97		
1,820	.80	170.28	429.17		
	1.90			Traceys Ford.	

*Elevations of water surface of North Fork of White River,
Arkansas, above sea-level at Gulf.—Continued.*

Distance Feet	Difference in eleva- tion Feet	Elevation Feet	Corrected elevation Water surface	Remarks
3,428	2.44	168.38	427.27	
2,740	1.20	165.94	424.83	
2,400	.40	164.74	423.63	
3,052	5.06	164.34	423.23	
2,100	.60	159.28	418.17	
2,480	.26	158.68	417.57	
2,180	.22	158.42	417.31	
2,196	3.12	158.20	417.09	
2,460	.74	155.08	413.97	
2,500	5.40	154.34	413.23	9.26 ft. fall in about 7,000 ft.
2,060	.16	148.94	407.83	
1,452	.08	148.78	407.67	Mouth of Big Creek.
1,560	1.80	148.70	407.59	
1,780	2.50	146.90	405.79	Conley Ford.
3,540	1.10	144.40	403.29	
2,500	1.80	143.30	402.19	
1,856	.78	141.50	400.39	
2,072	1.14	140.72	399.61	
2,396	2.32	139.58	398.47	
1,868	.94	137.26	396.15	
1,788	1.66	136.32	395.21	Mouth of Otter Creek.
3,160	3.16	134.66	393.55	

*Elevations of water surface of North Fork of White River,
Arkansas, above sea-level at Gulf.—Concluded.*

Distance Feet	Difference in eleva- tion Feet	Elevation Feet	Corrected elevation Water surface	Remarks
2,420	4.80	131.50	390.39	7.96 ft. fall in 5,580 ft.
2,604	1.18	126.70	385.59	
2,868	4.48	125.52	384.41	Missouri Pacific Railway bridge at Norfork.
		121.04	379.93	

Distance from Smiths Ferry..... 18.71 miles.
Fall from Smiths Ferry..... 78.96 feet.
Average fall per mile..... 4.21 feet.

NORTH FORK OF WHITE RIVER, SMITHS FERRY.

A staff gage in three sections, total length 25 feet, was installed by the Water Resources Branch of the United States Geological Survey at Smiths Ferry, near Henderson, Arkansas, on July 23, 1909. Each section of the gage is nailed to a tree, and the zero of the gage is referred to bench mark No. 1 and bench mark No. 2. Bench mark No. 1 is the top of a 20-penny wire nail driven in a sycamore tree to which the first section of the gage is attached. Elevation, 5.86 feet. Bench mark No. 2 is the top of a 20-penny wire nail driven into the east side of the tree, at the top of the bank almost directly east of the second section of the gage. Elevation, 26.49 feet.

This river has not been surveyed above Smiths Ferry. Below this point the fall is about 78 feet in approximately

18 miles by river. This reach of the river contains numerous rapids. At Buzzards Roost Falls there is a fall of 8 feet in about 4,000 feet. If all the fall between Smiths Ferry and Norfolk is made available by suitably located dams, the power, based on the flow recorded at Smiths Ferry would be as shown below:

Table of available horsepower between Smiths Ferry and Norfolk.

Flow in second-feet.		Horsepower.		
Minimum	Maximum	Minimum	Maximum	Average of Minimum and Maximum
325	7,900	2,304	56,018	29,161

This river is not navigable and is not used extensively for flottage of timber. The maximum observed fluctuation of water surface during the time the gage has been installed is 4.55 feet, but from marks on the timber, it is thought that the maximum variation will reach 25 to 30 feet.

Daily gage height, in feet, of North Fork of White River, near Henderson, Arkansas, for part of 1909-1910. Observers, F. S. Field and W. F. Smith.—Continued.

	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Nov	Dec.
1909												
1								2.1	1.7	1.5	1.6	1.8
2								2.0	1.6	1.5	1.65	1.85
3								2.9	1.55	1.5	1.8	1.9
4								2.9	1.5	1.4	1.75	1.8
5								2.8	1.65	1.45	1.65	1.8
6								2.8	1.6	1.5	1.6	1.85
7								2.7	1.5	1.6	1.7	1.9
8								2.65	1.6	1.6	1.8	2.0
9								2.55	1.6	1.6	1.9	2.5
10								2.5	1.55	1.6	1.85	2.65
11								2.4	1.5	1.5	1.75	1.9
12								2.35	1.5	1.5	1.65	1.9
13								1.95	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.8
14								1.7	1.7	1.45	1.5	1.95
15								1.7	1.85	1.4	1.55	2.0
16								1.7	1.75	1.4	1.7	1.9
17								1.6	1.65	1.5	1.75	1.8
18								1.6	1.5	1.5	1.7	1.7
19								1.6	1.5	1.45	1.65	1.7
20								1.5	1.5	1.4	1.7	1.7
21								1.4	1.65	1.5	1.6	1.8
22								1.4	1.75	1.5	1.65	1.8
23							2.2	1.4	1.6	1.5	1.7	1.8
24							2.15	1.35	1.5	1.5	1.65	1.7
25							2.1	1.3	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.6
26							2.1	1.3	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.6
27							2.05	1.3	1.5	1.45	1.6	1.55
28							2.0	1.3	1.5	1.4	1.7	1.5
29							2.0	2.0	1.6	1.4	1.7	1.6
30							2.55	2.0	1.55	1.4	1.8	1.6
31							2.1	1.9	1.5	1.6

Daily gage height, in feet, of North Fork of White River,
near Henderson, Arkansas, for part of 1909-1910.

Observers, F. S. Field and W. F. Smith.

—Concluded.

	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
1910												
1	1.6	1.7	3.45	1.7	1.9	2.0	2.5	1.2
2	1.6	1.7	2.9	1.65	1.8	1.95	2.25	1.2
3	1.7	1.7	2.75	1.6	1.8	2.0	2.0	2.2
4	1.7	1.7	2.7	1.65	1.7	2.3	1.9	2.9
5	1.7	1.7	2.65	1.8	1.6	2.5	2.35	1.9	3.2
6	1.8	1.55	2.55	1.95	1.85	2.1	2.9	1.9	3.2
7	1.8	1.5	2.6	2.5	1.9	2.2	2.7	1.9	2.9
8	1.8	1.5	2.7	2.55	1.85	5.55	2.45	1.9	2.4
9	1.8	1.5	2.8	2.35	1.8	5.0	2.35	1.95	2.2
10	1.7	1.6	3.2	2.3	1.85	4.15	4.6	2.4	2.0
11	1.7	1.6	3.45	2.2	1.9	3.45	3.4	2.25	1.9
12	1.7	1.55	2.95	2.3	2.0	3.0	4.7	2.15	1.8
13	1.7	1.5	2.8	2.6	1.9	3.0	5.4	2.0	1.7
14	1.65	1.5	2.75	3.1	1.8	2.45	4.0	2.0	1.6
15	1.65	1.55	2.6	3.45	1.95	2.35	3.95	1.95	1.4
16	1.7	1.6	2.5	3.65	2.4	2.1	3.25	1.9	1.2
17	1.75	1.6	2.4	3.55	2.35	2.1	4.3	1.9	1.0
18	1.8	1.6	2.3	3.15	2.15	2.15	3.55	2.7	1.7
19	1.7	1.6	2.2	2.9	1.95	2.15	2.9	2.4	1.7
20	1.7	1.6	2.15	2.45	1.9	2.1	2.7	2.25	1.7
21	1.6	1.5	2.1	2.35	1.9	2.05	2.4	2.0	1.7
22	1.65	1.5	2.0	2.3	1.9	2.05	2.2	1.9	1.6
23	1.7	1.6	2.0	2.2	2.05	3.8	1.85	1.6
24	1.7	1.65	1.95	2.2	2.0	2.3	1.8	1.6
25	1.7	1.7	1.9	2.1	2.0	2.2	1.8	1.7
26	1.6	1.7	1.9	2.1	2.0	2.0	1.8	1.7
27	1.6	4.35	1.8	2.1	2.0	2.0	1.9	1.8
28	1.6	4.00	1.7	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.8	2.0
29	1.6	1.7	1.95	2.0	2.0	1.8	2.0
30	1.7	1.8	1.9	2.0	2.4	1.8	1.8
31	1.7	1.8	2.7	1.6

Discharge measurements of North Fork of White River,
near Henderson, Arkansas.

Date	Hydrographer	Width	Area of section	Mean velocity	Gage height	Discharge
1909		Feet.	Sq. ft.	Ft. per sec.	Feet.	Sec.-ft.
Sept. 4	W. N. Gladson	210	709	1.20	1.4	851
Nov. 26	W. N. Gladson	230	718	1.00	1.6	719
Dec. 21	W. N. Gladson	240	777	1.17	1.75	911
1910						
May 19	W. N. Gladson	295	848	1.28	1.95	1,106

Rating table for North Fork of White River at Smiths
Ferry, near Henderson, Arkansas, from July 23,
1909, to October 1, 1910.

Gage height	Discharge	Difference	Gage height	Discharge	Difference	Gage height	Discharge	Difference
1.00	325	40	2.00	1150	120	3.00	2600	174
1.10	365	51	2.10	1270	128	3.10	2774	179
1.20	416	60	2.20	1398	132	3.20	2953	182
1.30	476	74	2.30	1530	134	3.30	3135	193
1.40	550	82	2.40	1664	140	3.40	3328	199
1.50	632	90	2.50	1804	135	3.50	3527	207
1.60	722	98	2.60	1949	152	3.60	3734	210
1.70	820	102	2.70	2101	162	3.70	3944	214
1.80	922	110	2.80	2263	167	3.80	4158	218
1.90	1032	118	2.90	2430	170	3.90	4376	224
						4.00	4600	

The above table is not applicable for ice or obstructed channel conditions. It is based on three discharge measurements made during November and December, 1909, and May, 1910, and is not well defined.

Monthly discharge of North Fork of White River, near Henderson, Arkansas, for 1909-1910. (Drainage area, 898 square miles.)

Month	Discharge in Second-Feet				Run-off
	Maximum	Minimum	Mean	Per square mile	Depth in inches on drainage area
1909					
January
February
March
April
May
June
July
August	2,430	476	1,191	1.32	1.52
September	1,032	632	723	.805	.90
October	722	550	625	.695	.80
November	1,032	632	822	.915	1.06
December	2,101	632	970	1.08	1.25
The period	2,430	476	866	.964	5.45
1910					
January	922	722	817	.909	1.05
February	5,536	632	1,037	1.15	1.20
March	3,527	820	1,781	1.98	2.28
April	3,944	722	1,712	1.90	2.12
May	1,665	722	1,041	1.15	1.33
June	7,900	1,150	2,009	2.23	2.49
July	7,200	1,150	2,625	2.92	3.37
August	1,664	722	1,183	1.31	1.51
September	2,430	325	927	1.03	1.15
October
November
December
The period	7,900	325	1,459	1.62	16.49

LITTLE RED RIVER AT PANGBURN GAGING STATION.

This station was established July 15, 1909, and the gage is read once a day. It is a staff gage in three sections fastened to the down-stream side of trees on the right bank of the river at Skillerns Ferry. Bench mark No. 1 is a drift nail set in the root on the south side of an elm tree, 75 feet east of the first section of the gage. The tree is on the

east side of the approach to the ferry-boat landing. Elevation, 6.26 feet. Bench mark No. 2 is the top of an 8-inch drift nail set in the root on the west side of a sweet gum tree, at the west side of approach to the ferry-boat landing. The tree is at the top of the river bank. Elevation, 31.13 feet.

The banks of this river are high and wooded. The extreme variation of gage height that has been observed since the gage was established is 24 feet. This river has not been surveyed.

Daily gage height, in feet, of Little Red River, near Pangburn, Arkansas, for part of 1909-1910.
Observer, A. J. Stolz.—Continued.

	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
1909												
1	2.0	1.5	1.4	1.6	3.0
2	1.9	1.4	1.5	1.6	2.9
3	1.9	1.4	1.5	1.6	2.9
4	1.8	1.5	1.5	1.6	5.4
5	1.8	1.5	1.5	1.5	4.8
6	1.8	1.5	1.6	1.5	4.5
7	1.8	1.8	1.6	2.2	5.1
8	1.7	1.6	1.5	2.5	5.0
9	1.7	1.5	1.5	2.4	4.8
10	1.7	1.5	1.5	2.3	4.4
11	1.7	1.5	1.4	2.4	4.5
12	1.7	1.4	1.4	3.0	7.5
13	1.7	1.4	1.4	2.9	13.4
14	2.65	1.6	1.4	1.5	2.9	10.6
15	2.9	1.6	1.4	1.5	2.9	8.2
16	3.2	1.6	1.4	1.5	3.0	7.0
17	3.0	1.7	1.4	1.5	2.9	6.4
18	3.2	1.8	1.3	1.5	8.4	5.8
19	3.1	1.7	1.3	1.5	6.4	5.2
20	3.1	1.7	1.3	1.5	5.0	4.9
21	3.1	1.7	1.6	1.6	4.3	4.7
22	2.1	1.7	1.8	1.5	3.9	4.3
23	2.3	1.6	2.2	1.6	3.7	3.9
24	2.2	1.6	1.8	1.6	3.5	3.8
25	2.2	1.6	1.6	1.6	3.6	3.7
26	2.1	1.6	1.6	1.6	3.6	3.7
27	2.1	1.6	1.5	1.6	3.5	3.6
28	2.7	1.6	1.5	1.6	3.4	3.5
29	2.2	1.6	1.4	1.5	3.2	3.4
30	2.0	1.5	1.4	1.5	3.0	3.3
31	2.0	1.5	1.5	3.2

Daily gage height, in feet, of Little Red River, near Pangburn, Arkansas, for part of 1909-1910.
Observer, A. J. Stolz.—Concluded.

	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
1910												
1	3.1	3.7	16.4	3.5	3.7	6.2	3.9	3.1	2.8
2	3.1	3.6	11.4	3.3	3.5	5.3	4.1	3.0	2.8
3	3.0	3.4	9.2	3.5	3.4	4.5	9.6	2.9	2.8
4	3.0	3.3	7.9	4.1	3.3	4.8	10.5	2.7	2.9
5	3.1	3.2	7.1	6.7	3.2	4.7	12.4	2.6	2.9
6	3.4	3.0	6.5	24.3	3.1	4.5	9.3	2.6	2.8
7	6.4	3.0	6.1	16.0	3.1	4.3	7.5	2.5	2.7
8	6.3	3.1	5.6	12.6	2.9	4.6	6.4	2.6	2.6
9	5.7	3.0	5.3	9.4	2.9	5.4	5.5	2.8	3.7
10	5.4	3.0	5.1	7.5	2.8	12.0	4.7	4.1	3.3
11	5.1	2.9	6.6	7.1	2.8	18.5	4.4	6.6	2.8
12	4.9	2.9	8.6	6.7	2.7	16.4	9.1	5.3	2.8
13	4.8	2.8	7.3	6.5	2.7	11.9	7.4	4.4	2.7
14	5.1	2.8	6.5	6.3	2.6	8.7	7.3	4.5	2.6
15	5.5	2.7	6.0	6.0	2.6	6.8	7.1	4.8	2.5
16	5.4	2.7	5.6	14.0	2.9	5.9	6.7	5.6	2.4
17	5.2	2.8	5.2	14.2	4.6	5.4	6.0	6.0	2.4
18	5.1	2.8	4.8	11.8	20.1	4.8	5.5	5.2	2.3
19	5.4	2.9	4.5	9.4	13.6	4.3	5.0	4.8	2.3
20	7.5	2.8	4.3	8.0	9.8	4.0	4.4	4.6	2.2
21	7.1	2.8	4.3	7.0	8.4	3.8	4.0	6.0	2.2
22	6.3	2.8	4.2	6.4	7.8	3.6	3.6	5.2	2.1
23	5.8	3.0	4.4	5.9	14.7	3.5	3.4	4.7	2.1
24	5.4	3.9	4.7	5.4	18.8	3.5	3.3	4.4	2.1
25	5.1	4.8	4.5	4.9	25.4	3.8	8.1	3.8	2.1
26	4.8	5.2	4.3	4.5	14.1	3.6	6.4	3.4	2.0
27	4.6	10.8	4.1	4.4	9.7	3.5	5.0	3.4	2.0
28	4.5	24.4	4.0	4.2	7.9	3.8	4.5	3.6	2.2
29	4.2	3.8	4.0	6.6	4.9	4.0	3.3	2.3
30	4.0	3.7	3.9	6.0	4.5	3.6	3.1	2.4
31	3.8	3.9	5.6	3.3	3.0

Discharge measurements of Little Red River, near Pangburn, Arkansas.

Date	Hydrographer	Width	Area of section	Mean velocity	Gage height	Discharge
		Feet.	Sq. ft.	Ft. per sec.	Feet.	Sec.-ft.
1909						
Nov. 28	W. N. Gladson	170	552	0.59	3.1	329
Dec. 25	W. N. Gladson	200	674	0.94	3.7	637
1910						
May 21	W. N. Gladson	222	1964	1.60	8.10	3400

Rating table for Little Red River at Skillerns Ferry, near Pangburn, Arkansas, from July 14, 1909, to October 1, 1910.—Continued.

Gage Hight	Dis-charge	Differ-ence	Gage Hight	Dis-charge	Differ-ence	Gage Hight	Dis-charge	Differ-ence
2.00	100	1	4.40	939	55	6.80	2418	66
2.10	101	2	4.50	994	55	6.90	2484	66
2.20	103	3	4.60	1049	56	7.00	2550	66
2.30	106	6	4.70	1105	56	7.10	2616	66
2.40	112	11	4.80	1161	57	7.20	2682	66
2.50	123	16	4.90	1218	57	7.30	2748	67
2.60	139	18	5.00	1275	59	7.40	2815	67
2.70	157	25	5.10	1334	61	7.50	2882	67
2.80	182	30	5.20	1395	62	7.60	2949	69
2.90	212	38	5.30	1457	62	7.70	3018	69
3.00	250	43	5.40	1519	63	7.80	3087	69
3.10	293	44	5.50	1582	63	7.90	3156	69
3.20	337	45	5.60	1645	63	8.00	3225	70
3.30	382	46	5.70	1708	64	8.10	3295	70
3.40	428	47	5.80	1772	64	8.20	3365	70
3.50	475	48	5.90	1836	64	8.30	3435	70
3.60	523	49	6.00	1900	64	8.40	3505	70
3.70	572	50	6.10	1964	64	8.50	3575	70
3.80	622	51	6.20	2028	64	8.60	3645	70
3.90	673	52	6.30	2092	64	8.70	3715	70
4.00	725	53	6.40	2156	65	8.80	3785	70
4.10	778	53	6.50	2221	65	8.90	3855	70
4.20	831	54	6.60	2286	66	9.00	3925	70
4.30	885	54	6.70	2352	66	9.10	3995	70

Rating table for Little Red River at Skillerns Ferry, near Pangburn, Arkansas, from July 14, 1909, to October 1, 1910.—Concluded.

Gage hight	Dis-charge	Differ-ence	Gage hight	Dis-charge	Differ-ence	Gage hight	Dis-charge	Differ-ence
9.20	4065	70	10.20	4765	70	11.10	5395	70
9.30	4135	70	10.30	4835	70	11.20	5465	70
9.40	4205	70	10.40	4905	70	11.30	5535	70
9.50	4275	70	10.50	4975	70	11.40	5605	70
9.60	4345	70	10.60	5045	70	11.50	5675	70
9.70	4415	70	10.70	5115	70	11.60	5745	70
9.80	4485	70	10.80	5185	70	11.70	5815	70
9.90	4555	70	10.90	5255	70	11.80	5885	70
10.00	4625	70	11.00	5325	70	11.90	5955	70
10.10	4695	70						

The above table is not applicable for ice or obstructed channel conditions. It is based on three discharge measurements made during November and December, 1909, and May, 1910, and is not well defined. Above gage hight 8 feet the rating curve is a tangent, the difference being 70 per tenth.

Elevations of water surface of Buffalo Fork of White River, Arkansas, above sea-level at Gulf, from Boxley to mouth of river.

Temporary bench mark, 8-penny wire nail driven into a sweet gum tree, 4 feet above the ground. Tree stands on the right bank, 4 feet from water, at a point due east from Boxley, Arkansas, in the northeast corner of Sec. 10, T. 15 N., R. 23 W. Elevation, 1,077.86 feet.

Distance Feet	Difference in elevation Feet	Corrected elevation Water surface Feet	Remarks
		1,073.03	Water surface opposite temporary bench mark.
500	.81	1,072.22	
788	5.06	1,067.16	Fall of 6.5 ft. in 1,434 ft.
646	1.45	1,065.71	
1,120	.02	1,065.69	
900	4.28	1,061.41	
1,042	5.88	1,055.53	
594	4.01	1,051.52	
1,552	3.74	1,047.78	
844	2.98	1,044.80	
608	2.46	1,042.34	
808	2.12	1,040.22	
1,004	2.62	1,037.60	Fall of 40.2 ft. in 2.05 miles.
1,138	.72	1,036.88	
798	1.97	1,034.91	
700	1.68	1,033.23	
338	1.40	1,031.83	
490	2.99	1,028.84	Jeffords Ford.
1,288	.43		

Elevations of water surface of Buffalo Fork of White River, Arkansas, above sea-level at Gulf, from Boxley to mouth of river.—Continued.

Distance Feet	Difference in elevation Feet	Corrected elevation Water surface Feet	Remarks
		1,028.41	
720	3.73	1,024.68	
1,044	4.12	1,020.56	
1,684	2.54	1,018.02	
882	2.70	1,015.32	
692	1.84	1,013.48	
538	2.95	1,010.53	
1,351	2.89	1,007.64	Addis Creek.
2,454	2.85	1,004.79	
298	1.21	1,003.58	Fall of 34 ft. in 4 miles.
998	.80	1,002.78	
462	1.24	1,001.54	
468	1.57	999.97	
1,118	4.50	995.47	
1,412	.16	995.31	
684	3.48	991.83	
1,332	2.09	989.74	
438	1.69	988.05	
642	2.08	985.97	
758	1.23	984.74	
828	3.26	981.48	
816	2.84	978.64	
1,572	.31	978.33	
826	.35		

Elevations of water surface of Buffalo Fork of White River, Arkansas, above sea-level at Gulf, from Boxley to mouth of river.—Continued.

Distance Feet	Difference in elevation Feet.	Corrected elevation Water surface Feet	Remarks
		977.98	
812	2.32	975.66	
354	1.63	974.03	
938	2.19	971.84	Steels Creek.
1,086	2.86	968.98	
1,042	4.48	964.50	
1,644	.92	963.58	
1,452	2.47	961.11	
1,410	4.25	956.86	Erringtons Ford.
510	1.61	955.25	
558	3.10	952.15	
1,240	.12	952.03	
934	2.31	949.72	U. S. G. S. B. M., elevation 959 ft.; datum, Fayetteville, Ark.
1,296	1.42	948.30	
940	.16	948.14	
906	4.18	943.96	
1,150	3.72	940.24	
1,016	1.02	939.22	
922	2.59	936.63	
960	.65	935.98	
808	1.29	934.69	
1,070	.37	934.32	
1,292	3.32	931.00	
1,176	.77		

Elevations of water surface of Buffalo Fork of White River, Arkansas, above sea-level at Gulf, from Boxley to mouth of river.—Continued.

Distance Feet	Difference in elevation Feet	Corrected elevation Water surface Feet	Remarks
736	1.97	930.23	
848	.01	928.26	
834	2.99	928.25	Sneeds Creek.
580	3.42	925.26	
830	1.53	921.84	
840	3.50	920.31	
1,284	3.01	916.81	
984	2.16	913.80	
642	.26	911.64	
1,044	.02	911.88	
1,936	3.12	911.36	
1,036	2.82	908.24	
1,134	1.99	905.42	Arbrough Ford.
1,468	1.58	903.43	
802	2.01	901.85	
1,186	.60	899.84	
794	4.82	899.24	
998	1.91	894.42	
728	.99	892.51	
610	2.04	891.52	
984	2.92	889.48	
1,064	1.16	886.56	
1,030	3.83	885.40	

Elevations of water surface of Buffalo Fork of White River, Arkansas, above sea-level at Gulf, from Boxley to mouth of river.—Continued.

Distance Feet	Difference in elevation Feet	Corrected elevation Water surface Feet	Remarks
716	.71	881.57	
680	1.34	880.86	
800	.06	879.52	
824	3.35	879.46	
1,124	.14	876.11	
936	2.32	875.97	
728	.10	873.65	
736	3.32	873.55	
1,130	1.21	870.23	
1,316	.20	869.02	
1,310	1.02	868.82	
664	2.93	867.80	
610	.60	864.87	
988	2.77	864.27	
954	4.19	861.50	
1,252	2.22	857.31	
982	.35	855.09	
776	1.20	854.74	
670	1.17	853.54	
922	.95	852.37	
518	.37	851.42	
1,060	2.15	851.05	
796	.61	848.90	

Elevations of water surface of Buffalo Fork of White River, Arkansas, above sea-level at Gulf, from Boxley to mouth of river.—Continued.

Distance Feet	Difference in elevation Feet	Corrected elevation Water surface Feet	Remarks
1,032	1.96	848.29	Farmer Ford.
1,254	.55	946.33	
912	2.36	845.78	
1,798	.05	843.42	
1,028	2.68	843.37	Mouth of Cecils Creek, Young Ford. U. S. G. S. B. M., elevation 896 ft.; datum, Fayetteville, Ark.
1,438	5.19	840.69	
1,056	2.24	835.50	
928	2.24	833.26	
2,548	2.64	831.02	
1,096	3.67	828.38	
1,134	1.93	824.71	
976	.35	822.78	
1,132	3.56	822.43	
508	.49	818.87	
1,128	.85	818.38	
1,528	1.86	817.53	
642	2.31	815.67	
1,840	.90	813.36	
880	.42	812.46	
810	.43	812.04	
1,024	2.12	811.61	
532	2.18	809.49	

Elevations of water surface of Buffalo Fork of White River, Arkansas, above sea-level at Gulf, from Boxley to mouth of river.—Continued.

Distance Feet	Difference in elevation Feet	Corrected elevation Water surface Feet	Remarks
1,072	.76	807.31	
1,782	1.31	806.55	
1,234	2.40	805.24	
1,122	.67	802.84	
1,592	1.67	802.17	
1,518	2.07	800.50	
1,536	2.99	798.43	
1,286	1.99	795.44	
614	.22	793.45	
1,820	2.00	793.23	
1,544	2.92	791.23	
996	2.26	788.31	
1,372	.02	786.05	
884	1.62	786.03	
1,220	1.30	784.41	
1,240	3.80	783.11	
1,228	1.40	779.31	
2,020	.40	777.91	
1,100	1.80	777.51	
684	1.20	775.71	
1,272	.58	774.51	
1,300	.24	773.93	Mill Creek.
824	1.32	773.69	

Elevations of water surface of Buffalo Fork of White River, Arkansas, above sea-level at Gulf, from Boxley to mouth of river.—Continued.

Distance Feet	Difference in elevation Feet	Corrected elevation Water surface Feet	Remarks
		772.37	Harrison Jasper Road.
772	4.20	768.17	
1,036	.12	768.05	
1,968	.14	767.91	
1,100	2.90	765.01	U. S. G. S. B. M., elevation 796 ft.; datum, Fayetteville, Ark.
1,724	1.92	763.09	
1,412	1.54	761.55	Shaddox Ford.
1,454	2.90	758.65	
784	.01	758.64	
1,810	1.40	757.24	
1,856	4.06	753.18	
1,636	.80	752.38	Mouth of Little Buffalo.
2,400	3.30	749.08	
1,826	1.12	747.96	
1,172	2.78	745.18	Mouth of Wells Creek.
1,164	.03	745.15	
1,516	1.26	743.89	
1,172	.96	742.93	
1,184	2.46	740.47	
662	.15	740.32	
1,078	.77	739.55	
744	.18	739.37	
1,092	1.14	738.23	
1,242	1.40		

Elevations of water surface of Buffalo Fork of White River, Arkansas, above sea-level at Gulf, from Boxley to mouth of river.—Continued.

Distance Feet	Difference in elevation Feet	Corrected elevation Water surface Feet	Remarks
		736.83	
1,376	.26	736.57	
1,036	1.08	735.49	
1,460	.04	735.45	
1,292	1.30	734.15	
1,646	1.03	733.12	
540	1.16	731.96	
1,022	.35	731.61	
1,200	2.42	729.19	
1,432	1.26	727.93	
852	1.37	726.56	
1,880	.56	726.00	
1,772	1.68	724.32	
2,284	2.30	722.02	
1,644	2.14	719.88	
1,174	.01	719.87	
1,632	1.09	718.78	
1,544	1.34	717.44	Ferry.
2,132	.26	717.18	Mouth of Big Creek.
2,168	3.15	714.03	
1,928	3.10	710.93	
1,106	.33	710.60	
1,686	1.45	709.15	
1,568	1.82		

Elevations of water surface of Buffalo Fork of White River, Arkansas, above sea-level at Gulf, from Boxley to mouth of river.—Continued.

Distance Feet	Difference in elevation Feet	Corrected elevation Water surface Feet	Remarks
		707.33	
3,606	.80	706.53	
1,700	1.02	705.51	
1,346	1.59	703.92	
1,508	.10	703.82	
1,990	4.60	699.22	Ford.
2,432	.01	699.21	
832	2.00	697.21	
1,314	1.79	695.42	
2,028	1.01	694.41	
1,716	2.78	691.63	
1,550	1.55	690.08	Stave Factory Boom.
1,950	1.46	688.62	
2,284	.90	687.72	
2,746	.33	687.39	Mt. Hersey.
2,116	3.42	683.97	
2,372	2.57	681.40	
2,948	2.48	678.92	
3,000	1.40	677.52	
2,136	.25	677.27	Mouth of Cave Creek, Hale Ford.
2,020	3.26	674.01	
1,888	1.44	672.57	
2,038	3.60	668.97	
1,570	1.12		

Elevations of water surface of Buffalo Fork of White River, Arkansas, above sea-level at Gulf, from Boxley to mouth of river.—Continued.

Distance Feet	Difference in elevation Feet	Corrected elevation Water surface Feet	Remarks
		667.85	Mouth of Cane Creek.
2,484	.12	667.73	
2,226	.74	666.99	
1,750	2.89	664.10	
1,724	1.60	662.50	
2,350	2.40	660.10	
3,312	.78	659.32	
1,904	.50	658.82	
1,810	1.99	656.83	
3,096	.38	656.45	
2,380	2.75	653.70	Woollem Ford.
2,460	1.60	652.10	Mouth of Richland Creek.
1,604	3.32	648.78	
2,074	2.18	646.60	
3,310	.55	646.05	
1,886	4.22	641.83	
1,544	1.00	640.83	
2,046	1.30	639.53	
3,460	5.10	634.43	
2,118	.08	634.35	
2,236	4.26	630.09	Bend Ford, mouth of Bend Branch.
2,374	.31	629.78	
2,808	5.31	624.47	Margaret White Ford.
2,908	1.89		

Elevations of water surface of Buffalo Fork of White River, Arkansas, above sea-level at Gulf, from Boxley to mouth of river.—Continued.

Distance Feet	Difference in elevation Feet	Corrected elevation Water surface Feet	Remarks
		622.58	
2,266	.93	621.65	
2,396	4.43	617.22	
2,980	2.12	615.10	
3,418	2.14	612.96	
1,262	3.13	609.83	
1,144	1.32	608.51	
2,160	.02	608.49	
2,470	1.17	607.32	
1,878	1.73	605.59	
1,480	.89	604.70	
2,426	4.02	600.68	
3,070	1.15	599.53	
1,968	.50	599.03	
2,376	.18	598.85	
2,216	4.08	594.77	
2,184	.90	593.87	
1,732	1.46	592.41	
2,494	1.40	591.01	
1,852	2.54	588.47	
758	.40	588.07	
1,620	2.87	585.20	
3,610	1.20	584.00	
1,734	2.90		

Elevations of water surface of Buffalo Fork of White River, Arkansas, above sea-level at Gulf, from Boxley to mouth of river.—Continued.

Distance Feet	Difference in elevation Feet	Corrected elevation Water surface Feet	Remarks
		581.10	Mouth of Craney Creek.
2,116	1.15	579.95	Near mouth of Mill Creek.
2,650	.80	579.15	
2,232	3.97	575.18	
3,092	1.20	573.98	Grinders Ferry.
2,552	.78	573.20	
1,904	2.16	571.04	
1,924	1.46	569.58	
1,578	1.55	568.03	
1,768	.12	567.91	
2,826	2.85	565.06	
2,930	2.60	562.46	
2,502	.10	562.36	
2,530	.25	562.11	
1,512	2.25	559.86	Gilbert, Arkansas.
1,820	.92	558.94	
1,616	1.80	557.14	
2,870	1.05	556.09	
928	1.98	554.11	Mouth of Bear Creek.
752	.97	553.14	
1,368	2.46	550.68	
1,872	.74	549.94	
188	.06	549.88	Under Missouri & North Arkansas Railway crossing. U. S. G. S. Gaging station.
2,860	.90		

Elevations of water surface of Buffalo Fork of White River, Arkansas, above sea-level at Gulf, from Boxley to mouth of river.—Continued.

Distance Feet	Difference in elevation Feet	Corrected elevation Water surface Feet	Remarks
		548.98	
1,722	.79	548.19	
1,332	1.64	546.55	
668	.59	545.96	
2,520	2.25	543.71	
1,456	.10	543.61	
2,098	.24	543.37	
3,160	1.35	542.02	
2,124	.70	541.32	
2,428	.91	540.41	
2,890	2.16	538.25	
2,620	1.80	536.45	
2,538	2.33	534.12	
3,730	1.45	532.67	
3,140	1.57	531.10	
1,938	3.04	528.06	Mouth of Little Rock Creek.
2,914	.50	527.56	
2,854	1.83	525.73	
1,672	2.63	523.10	
2,338	1.07	522.03	
2,624	1.57	520.46	
2,348	.84	519.62	
2,150	1.18	518.44	
1,556	1.42		

Elevations of water surface of Buffalo Fork of White River, Arkansas, above sea-level at Gulf, from Boxley to mouth of river.—Continued.

Distance Feet	Difference in elevation Feet	Corrected elevation Water surface Feet	Remarks
		517.02	
2,656	2.18	514.84	
3,216	1.61	513.23	
3,114	2.02	511.21	
2,778	.49	510.72	
1,300	.57	510.15	
2,062	1.15	509.00	
1,744	1.62	507.38	Mouth of Spring Creek.
1,032	1.68	505.70	
1,522	2.46	503.24	
3,030	.62	502.62	
1,956	.28	502.34	
2,154	2.31	500.03	
2,226	1.24	498.79	
1,804	1.54	497.25	
2,867	1.17	496.08	
2,572	.28	495.80	Mouth of Water Creek.
1,602	2.14	493.66	Mouth of Kimball Creek.
1,924	2.48	491.18	
1,560	.72	490.46	
2,146	.17	490.29	
2,570	.85	489.44	
1,682	2.64	486.80	
2,564	1.44		

Elevations of water surface of Buffalo Fork of White River, Arkansas, above sea-level at Gulf, from Boxley to mouth of river.—Continued.

Distance Feet	Difference in elevation Feet	Corrected elevation Water surface Feet	Remarks
1,598	2.06	485.36	
2,164	.20	483.30	
2,666	.25	483.10	
3,030	3.35	482.85	
1,888	.09	479.50	
1,712	1.02	479.41	
2,782	1.50	478.39	
2,384	2.48	476.89	
3,216	.23	474.41	
2,222	2.92	474.18	
1,452	.76	471.26	
3,720	2.20	470.50	
1,666	1.68	468.30	
1,450	.55	466.62	West side of Horseshoe Bend.
3,644	1.18	466.07	
2,134	1.00	464.89	
1,888	.05	463.89	
2,710	.17	463.84	
2,756	1.15	463.67	Red Cloud Mine.
1,168	3.08	462.52	Mouth of Blue John Creek.
3,156	2.33	459.44	
3,198	.18	457.11	
3,466	.60	456.93	Mouth of Cabin Creek.

Elevations of water surface of Buffalo Fork of White River, Arkansas, above sea-level at Gulf, from Boxley to mouth of river.—Continued.

Distance Feet	Difference in elevation Feet	Corrected elevation Water surface Feet	Remarks
1,388	.06	456.33	
1,568	4.26	456.27	Mouth of Cedar Creek.
2,786	3.35	452.01	
3,784	2.77	448.66	
2,316	.09	445.89	
3,970	2.80	445.80	East side of Horseshoe Bend. Fall of 20.82 ft. in 2,500 ft. across neck, or 7.08 miles around.
3,030	2.22	443.00	
2,816	.50	440.78	
2,454	.83	440.28	
1,308	2.25	439.45	
2,304	1.76	437.20	
4,020	1.10	435.44	
2,060	1.38	434.34	
2,906	1.74	432.96	
3,810	1.40	431.22	
2,930	.65	429.82	
2,920	4.35	429.17	Mouth of Big Creek.
1,598	1.11	424.82	
1,790	1.03	423.71	
1,412	.32	422.68	
2,792	1.00	422.36	
1,618	.71	421.46	Mouth of Middle Creek.
2,138	1.33	420.65	

Elevations of water surface of Buffalo Fork of White River, Arkansas, above sea-level at Gulf, from Boxley to mouth of river.—Continued.

Distance Feet	Difference in elevation Feet	Corrected elevation Water surface Feet	Remarks
		419.32	
4,664	1.92	417.40	
1,314	1.77	415.63	
1,720	1.05	414.58	
1,070	2.25	412.33	
804	.92	411.41	
1,710	.20	411.21	
1,436	.20	411.01	
2,394	.80	410.21	Mouth of Leatherwood Creek.
2,666	2.30	407.91	
3,268	1.30	406.61	Mouth of Cow Creek.
1,960	1.70	404.91	Cow Creek Shoal.
3,750	1.70	403.21	
988	1.78	401.43	
1,734	.47	400.96	
1,322	1.24	399.72	
3,100	2.80	396.92	
2,760	.60	396.32	
3,360	1.98	394.34	
2,776	.52	393.82	
1,856	1.50	392.32	
3,246	1.88	390.44	
4,230	1.60	388.84	
3,060	1.35		

Elevations of water surface of Buffalo Fork of White River, Arkansas, above sea-level at Gulf, from Boxley to mouth of river.—Concluded.

Distance Feet	Difference in elevation Feet	Corrected elevation Water surface Feet	Remarks
		387.49	
1,482	.64	386.85	
2,548	+1.07		Water surface of White River. Total distance from Boxley, 691,864 ft. or 131+ miles. Total fall from Boxley, 686.18 ft. Average fall per mile, 5.23 ft.
		387.92	
1,358	+8.25	396.17	
92	+13.40	409.57	
80	+13.60	423.17	
714	-1.85	421.32	
640	-.80	420.52	
464	+3.06	423.58	
1,120	+2.00	425.58	
252	-.68	424.90	U. S. G. S. B. M., elevation, 422.31 ft. at Buffalo City. Monument 5 in. by 5 in. limestone, 5 in. above ground, on the right bank of the river, about 500 ft. above the old landing.

BUFFALO FORK OF WHITE RIVER.

Buffalo Fork of White River, near Gilbert, Arkansas.

At this station, a standard chain gage, attached to the up-stream guard rail of the Missouri & North Arkansas Railroad bridge across the river, was installed July 16, 1909, by the Water Resources Branch of the United States Geological Survey. The chain measures 37.85 feet from the end of the weight to the lower marker, and 57.87 feet to upper marker. The location of this gage is referred to bench

mark No. 1, southwest corner of the top of the left bridge abutment marked with black paint, "B. M."—elevation, 29.98 feet above zero of the gage—and to bench mark No. 2, southwest corner of left bridge pier, marked with black paint, "B. M."—elevation, 50.06 feet—above zero of the gage.

Buffalo Fork of White River is about 140 miles long. It rises in the Boston Mountains and flows in an easterly direction, emptying into White River a few miles below Buffalo City. The river is divided, in Sec. 21, T. 16 N., R. 20 W., into two nearly equal prongs, Little Buffalo entering from the south. Buffalo Fork of White River drains about 1,383 square miles. The country through which this stream flows is very rough and broken. It is well timbered, with no swamp, or lake storage. The valley of the stream is very narrow, especially in the eastern part, with very little farm land. Most of the area is held as mining property, or timber land.

Buffalo Fork of White River above mouth of Little Buffalo. Flow measurements taken July 26, 1910, in Sec. 8, T. 16 N., R. 20 W., on the main prong of Buffalo Fork above the mouth of Little Buffalo, gave a flow of 85.19 cubic feet per second. Basing the calculations on this one measurement of the flow, and the fall of 323 feet from Boxley to the mouth of Little Buffalo, there was available at the date given, about 2,495 horsepower on the main fork of the river. No work was done on Little Buffalo.

Buffalo Fork of White River below mouth of Little Buffalo. Between the mouth of Little Buffalo and Gilbert, a distance of 46 miles by river, there is a fall of about 199 feet. Using flow measurements obtained at Gilbert as a basis for calculations, on the assumption that the above fall would be made available, the following table shows the possible horsepower:

Table of available horsepower between the mouth of Little Buffalo and Gilbert.

Flow in second-feet at Gilbert		Horsepower		
Minimum	Maximum	Minimum	Maximum	Average of Minimum and Maximum
62	12,682	1,121	229,448	115,284

Buffalo Fork of White River at Mouth. From Gilbert to the mouth of the river, a distance of some 52 miles, there is a fall of about 162 feet. Basing our calculations on this fall, and the flow as found at Gilbert, the following table shows the available horsepower:

Table of available horsepower between Gilbert and the mouth of Buffalo Fork.

Flow in second-feet at Gilbert		Horsepower		
Minimum	Maximum	Minimum	Maximum	Average of Minimum and Maximum
62	12,682	913	186,771	93,842

Buffalo Fork of White River at Seven-mile Bend. At a point in Sec. 13, T. 17 N., R. 15 W., there is a fall of approximately 20 feet in 7 miles. The river makes almost a complete loop in this distance, coming back to within about 2,600 feet of the starting point. If a tunnel were driven through the hill which separates the channels at the narrowest point of the neck, and a 20-foot dam constructed at the upper entrance to the tunnel, making an available fall of 40 feet, this site would be made to yield 225 minimum horsepower, if we assume the minimum flow recorded at Gilbert. If means were provided for regulating the flood waters and averaging the flow, much more power would be available.

Daily gage hight, in feet, of Buffalo Fork of White River,
near Gilbert, Arkansas, for part of 1909-1910.
Observer, Mrs. Esther Williams.—Continued.

	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Nov	Dec.
1909												
1								2.6	2.2	2.2	2.5	3.0
2								2.6	2.2	2.2	2.4	3.0
3								2.5	2.2	2.2	2.4	3.8
4								2.5	2.2	2.2	2.4	3.6
5								2.5	2.3	2.2	2.4	3.6
6								2.5	2.3	2.2	2.5	4.3
7								2.6	2.3	2.2	2.5	4.8
8								2.7	2.3	2.2	2.5	4.3
9								2.7	2.3	2.6	2.6	4.0
10								2.6	2.3	2.4	2.5	3.7
11								2.6	2.3	2.3	2.5	3.6
12								2.6	2.3	2.3	2.6	4.6
13								2.5	2.3	2.3	2.6	5.1
14								2.5	2.2	2.3	2.7	4.8
15								2.5	2.3	2.3	2.7	4.5
16							3.0	2.5	2.3	2.3	2.9	4.2
17							3.0	2.5	2.2	2.3	3.9	4.0
18							2.9	2.4	2.2	2.3	4.1	3.7
19							2.8	2.4	2.2	2.3	3.9	3.6
20							2.8	2.4	2.2	2.3	3.6	3.4
21							2.7	2.4	2.3	2.3	3.4	3.9
22							2.7	2.3	2.3	2.3	3.3	3.3
23							2.7	2.3	2.3	2.3	3.2	3.2
24							2.7	2.3	2.3	2.3	3.1	3.2
25							2.7	2.3	2.3	2.3	3.1	3.2
26							2.7	2.3	2.3	2.3	3.0	3.1
27							2.6	2.2	2.3	2.3	3.0	3.1
28							2.6	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.9	3.0
29							2.6	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.9	3.0
30							2.6	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.9	2.9
31							2.6	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.9

Daily gage hight, in feet, of Buffalo Fork of White River.
near Gilbert, Arkansas, for part of 1909-1910.
Observer, Mrs. Esther Williams.—Concluded.

	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Nov	Dec.
1910												
1	2.9	3.2	7.0	3.2	3.6	4.7	4.1	3.0	3.2
2	2.9	3.1	6.3	3.2	3.5	4.6	4.4	2.9	3.3
3	2.9	3.1	5.7	3.2	3.5	4.4	7.3	3.0	3.3
4	2.9	3.1	5.2	6.6	3.4	4.2	6.7	2.8	3.2
5	2.9	3.0	4.9	9.3	3.4	4.4	7.3	2.8	3.2
6	3.2	3.0	4.7	8.1	3.3	4.4	6.3	2.9	7.2
7	3.8	3.0	4.4	6.7	3.3	4.2	5.5	4.2	7.0
8	3.7	2.9	4.2	5.9	3.3	4.1	5.0	7.0	5.2
9	3.6	2.9	4.0	5.8	3.3	5.5	4.6	6.1	4.6
10	3.5	2.8	4.2	5.2	3.3	8.4	4.4	9.3	4.2
11	3.5	2.8	4.9	5.0	3.3	8.3	4.1	6.7	3.9
12	3.4	2.8	4.8	5.0	3.3	7.5	7.2	5.7	3.7
13	3.4	2.8	4.6	5.2	3.2	6.3	9.0	5.1	3.6
14	3.4	2.8	4.5	5.2	3.1	5.7	6.7	5.3	3.5
15	3.4	2.8	4.2	10.0	3.1	5.1	6.0	15.3	3.4
16	3.4	2.8	4.1	8.0	7.7	4.7	5.3	7.1	3.3
17	3.4	3.6	4.0	7.5	18.4	4.4	4.8	5.8	3.2
18	3.5	3.1	3.9	6.7	11.5	4.2	4.5	6.9	3.1
19	4.0	3.0	3.8	6.7	8.4	4.1	4.2	10.3	3.1
20	4.1	2.9	3.7	5.6	7.3	4.0	4.0	7.3	3.0
21	4.2	2.9	3.5	5.1	6.7	3.9	3.8	6.3	3.0
22	4.0	3.0	3.6	5.0	6.1	3.8	3.6	5.5	2.9
23	3.9	3.4	3.6	4.7	7.0	3.6	3.6	4.9	3.1
24	3.8	4.0	3.4	4.5	10.3	3.6	4.2	4.5	3.1
25	3.7	4.1	3.4	4.3	8.2	4.1	4.1	4.2	3.0
26	3.6	4.0	3.4	4.2	7.7	4.0	3.8	4.0	2.9
27	3.5	7.0	3.3	4.1	7.0	4.5	3.6	3.8	3.1
28	3.4	8.05	3.3	4.0	6.2	4.5	3.4	3.6	3.1
29	3.3	3.2	3.8	5.7	3.3	3.5	3.1
30	3.3	3.2	3.7	5.3	3.2	3.4	3.0
31	3.2	3.2	5.0	3.1	3.2

Discharge measurements of Buffalo Fork of White River,
near Gilbert, Arkansas.

Date	Hydrographer	Width	Area of section	Mean velocity	Gage height	Dis- charge.
		Feet.	Sq. ft.	Ft. per sec.	Feet.	Sec.-ft.
1909						
Nov. 29	W. N. Gladson	65	98	1.34	3.0	131*
Dec. 23	W. N. Gladson	68	128	1.21	3.2	155*
1910						
May 22	W. N. Gladson	100	414	4.60	6.27	2,257†
May 23	W. N. Gladson	160	915	2.82	7.20	2,650‡
Aug. 1	W. N. Gladson	68	127	1.02	3.02	137.4*

*50 ft. above bridge.
†At railway bridge.
‡800 ft. above bridge.

Rating table for Buffalo Fork of White River at Missouri
& North Arkansas Railway bridge, near Gilbert,
Arkansas, from July 16, 1909, to October 1, 1910.

—Continued.

Gage height	Dis- charge	Differ- ence	Gage height	Dis- charge	Differ- ence	Gage height	Dis- charge	Differ- ence
2.00	48	7	3.20	165	26	4.40	642	58
2.10	55	7	3.30	191	30	4.50	700	60
2.20	62	8	3.40	221	32	4.60	760	62
2.30	70	8	3.50	253	34	4.70	822	64
2.40	78	8	3.60	287	36	4.80	886	66
2.50	86	8	3.70	323	38	4.90	952	68
2.60	94	9	3.80	361	39	5.00	1020	70
2.70	103	9	3.90	400	40	5.10	1090	70
2.80	112	9	4.00	440	44	5.20	1160	72
2.90	121	9	4.10	484	49	5.30	1232	72
3.00	130	15	4.20	533	53	5.40	1304	74
3.10	145	20	4.30	586	56	5.50	1378	74

Rating table for Buffalo Fork of White River at Missouri
& North Arkansas Railway bridge, near Gilbert,
Arkansas, from July 16, 1909, to October 1, 1910.

—Concluded.

Gage height	Dis- charge	Differ- ence	Gage height	Dis- charge	Differ- ence	Gage height	Dis- charge	Differ- ence
5.60	1452	76	7.80	3354	88	9.90	5202	88
5.70	1528	78	7.90	3442	88	10.00	5290	88
5.80	1606	81	8.00	3530	88	10.10	5378	88
5.90	1687	83	8.10	3618	88	10.20	5466	88
6.00	1770	88	8.20	3706	88	10.30	5554	88
6.10	1858	88	8.30	3794	88	10.40	5642	88
6.20	1946	88	8.40	3882	88	10.50	5730	88
6.30	2034	88	8.50	3970	88	10.60	5818	88
6.40	2122	88	8.60	4058	88	10.70	5906	88
6.50	2210	88	8.70	4146	88	10.80	5994	88
6.60	2298	88	8.80	4234	88	10.90	6082	88
6.70	2386	88	8.90	4322	88	11.00	6170	88
6.80	2474	88	9.00	4410	88	11.10	6258	88
6.90	2562	88	9.10	4498	88	11.20	6346	88
7.00	2650	88	9.20	4586	88	11.30	6434	88
7.10	2738	88	9.30	4674	88	11.40	6522	88
7.20	2826	88	9.40	4762	88	11.50	6610	88
7.30	2914	88	9.50	4850	88	11.60	6698	88
7.40	3002	88	9.60	4938	88	11.70	6786	88
7.50	3090	88	9.70	5026	88	11.80	6874	88
7.60	3178	88	9.80	5114	88	11.90	6962	88
7.70	3266	88						

The above table is not applicable for ice or obstructed channel conditions. It is based on five discharge measurements made during November and December, 1909, and May and August, 1910, and is fairly well defined between gage heights 3 feet and 6 feet. Above gage height 6 feet the rating curve is a tangent, the difference being 88 per tenth.

Monthly discharge of Buffalo Fork of White River, near Gilbert, Arkansas, 1909-1910. (Drainage area, 822 square miles.)

Month	Discharge in Second-Foot				Run-off
	Maximum	Minimum	Mean	Per square mile	Depth in inches on drainage area
1909					
January
February
March
April
May
June
July
August	103	62	81	.098	.11
September	70	62	68	.082	.09
October	94	62	68	.082	.09
November	484	78	150	.182	.20
December	1,090	121	360	.447	.52
The period	1,090	62	145	.176	.99
1910					
January	533	121	246	.299	.34
February	3,574	112	384	.467	.49
March	2,650	165	651	.790	.91
April	5,290	165	1,735	2.11	2.35
May	12,682	145	1,046	2.48	2.86
June	3,882	145	963	1.17	1.31
July	4,410	145	1,122	1.36	1.57
August	9,954	112	1,591	1.93	2.23
September	2,826	121	414	.503	.56
October
November
December
The Period	12,682	112	1,017	1.24	12.62

Miscellaneous discharge measurements.

Date	Hydrographer	Width	Area of section.	Mean velocity	Gage height	Discharge
		Feet.	Sq. ft.	Ft. per sec.	Feet.	Sec.-ft.
1910						
Aug. 26	W. N. Gladson	64	49.8	1.56	3.8	85.19*
Aug. 22	W. N. Gladson	54	74.38	.56	3.6	43.60†
April 8	W. N. Gladson	36	94.4	1.59	169.6 †
April 4	W. N. Gladson	36	94.4	1.73	189.6 †
April 4	W. N. Gladson	36	94.4	1.74	179.84 †
1909						
Aug. 1	W. N. Gladson	75	513.8	1.07	5.4 †	511.8**
Aug. 22	W. N. Gladson	100	336.5	.53	4.9 †	142.7 †
Nov. 28	W. N. Gladson	310	18.26	1.53	6.8	2791.0 †

*Buffalo Fork of White River, Sec. 35, T. 16 N., R. 20 W.

†Buffalo Fork of White River, Sec. 8, T. 16 N., R. 20 W.

‡War Eagle Creek, near War Eagle Mill.

**White River, near Habberton, Ark.

††White River east of Rogers, Ark.

‡‡White River at Walls Ferry.

‡Beaver.

CONTROL OF FLOOD WATERS ON WHITE RIVER, NORTH FORK, AND BUFFALO FORK.

These streams are all subject to wide fluctuations of flow. The maximum variation in gage height on White River at Cotter will be in the neighborhood of 34 feet. North Fork and Buffalo Fork are subject to almost, if not quite, as great fluctuations. Any development of power contemplating a constant supply from one of these streams must include the control of the flood waters. The fall created by any ordinary dam would be entirely obliterated during the period of extreme flood.

If the nature of the development is such that an occasional shut-down, for high water to pass, would not seriously interfere with the enterprise, these streams will furnish abundance of power. Dam sites are numerous, and real estate is not high. The development of the mining and timber interest of Newton, Searcy, Boone, Marion and Baxter counties should furnish a ready local market for considerable power, while the cotton growing districts are within easy reach of a practicable long-distance transmission line, which would furnish power for manufacturing the cotton at home.

Table of net horsepower per foot of fall, with a turbine efficiency of 80 per cent for the minimum monthly discharge of North Fork of White River, near Henderson, Arkansas.

1909				1910			
Month	Minimum discharge second-feet	Minimum net horsepower per foot of fall	Duration of minimum days	Month	Minimum discharge second-feet	Minimum net horsepower per foot of fall	Duration of minimum days
Aug.	476	43.2	4	Jan.	722	65.6	7
Sept.	632	57.4	13	Feb.	632	57.4	7
Oct.	550	50.0	7	March	820	74.5	2
Nov.	632	57.4	1	April	722	65.6	1
Dec.	632	57.4	1	May	722	65.6	1
.....	June	1,150	104.5	7
.....	July	1,150	104.5	7
.....	Aug.	722	65.6	1
.....	Sept.	476	43.2	2

Average minimum horsepower per foot of fall for 14 months, 65.1.

Table of net horsepower per foot of fall, with a turbine efficiency of 80 per cent for the minimum monthly discharge of White River near Cotter, Arkansas.

1909				1910			
Month	Minimum discharge second-feet	Minimum net horsepower per foot of fall	Duration of minimum days	Month	Minimum discharge second-feet	Minimum net horsepower per foot of fall	Duration of minimum days
Aug.	456	41.4	4	Jan.	1,039	94.4	1
Sept.	300	27.2	1	Feb.	835	75.9	1
Oct.	300	27.2	8	March	1,473	133.9	1
Nov.	456	41.4	2	April	1,473	133.9	1
Dec.	968	88.0	1	May	1,800	163.6	1
.....	June	2,544	231.2	3
.....	July	2,413	219.3	1
.....	Aug.	1,473	133.9	1
.....	Sept.	1,039	94.4	3

Average for 14 months, 107.5 minimum horsepower per foot of fall.

Table of net horsepower per foot of fall, with a turbine efficiency of 80 per cent for the minimum monthly discharge of Buffalo Fork of White River, at Gilbert, Arkansas.

1909				1910			
Month	Minimum discharge second-feet	Minimum net horse-power per foot of fall	Duration of minimum days	Month	Minimum discharge second-feet	Minimum net horse-power per foot of fall	Duration of minimum days
Aug.	62	5.6	5	Jan.	121	11.0	5
Sept.	62	5.6	9	Feb.	112	10.1	7
Oct.	62	5.6	8	March	165	15.0	3
Nov.	78	7.0	4	April	165	15.0	3
Dec.	121	11.0	2	May	145	13.1	2
.....	June	145	13.1	1
.....	July	145	13.1	1
.....	Aug.	112	10.1	2
.....	Sept.	121	11.0	2

Average minimum horsepower per foot fall for 14 months, 10.5 horsepower

The following information was obtained from the U. S. Weather Bureau. Summary of the climatological data for Arkansas:

Table of mean monthly precipitation; inches and hundredths.

Stations	Elevation feet	Length of record Years	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September
Fayetteville..	1451	22	2.72	2.44	4.27	4.39	6.26	4.84	4.81	4.40	3.58
Mossville	15	4.14	3.02	6.72	5.24	7.56	5.39	5.68	3.75	4.40
Rogers.....	1385	18	2.55	1.87	3.82	4.31	6.22	4.58	4.59	3.59	3.50
Dodd City....	1175	28	2.87	3.28	4.34	3.91	5.92	4.40	4.98	3.97	3.76
								October	November	December	Annual
Fayetteville..	1451	22	3.05	3.19	2.81	46.76
Mossville....	15	3.59	3.66	3.70	56.86
Rogers.....	1385	18	2.60	2.92	2.12	42.67
Dodd City....	1175	28	2.95	3.19	3.00	46.57

Table of mean temperature in degrees Fahrenheit.

Stations	Elevati'n feet	Length of record Years	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	Sept.
Fayetteville.....	1451	18	35.4	38.2	48.6	58.8	66.5	73.7	77.4	76.3	71.2
Mossville.....	12	35.2	34.7	48.2	56.7	65.7	71.6	76.3	77.3	70.0
Rogers.....	1385	15	34.8	36.1	47.7	58.2	66.3	73.3	76.9	77.9	70.4
Dodd City.....	1175	24	35.1	37.9	49.1	60.3	67.6	76.0	80.0	77.9	71.6

				October	Nov.	Dec.	Annual
Fayetteville.....	1451	18	60.4	48.4	39.4	57.9
Mossville.....	12	59.5	47.5	36.7	56.6
Rogers.....	1385	15	59.5	48.0	38.0	57.3
Dodd City.....	1175	24	60.2	47.6	39.5	58.6

STATE CONTROL OF WATER POWERS.

In general, the use of the waters of rivers in the State are subject to State control, whether the river be navigable or not, so long as commerce is not interfered with by such control. The riparian owner, according to common law principles, has a right also to a reasonable use of the water for domestic purposes, or for the development of power.

The Federal Government claims and exercises the right of sovereignty over navigable streams, for the purpose of regulating interstate commerce, but unless a riparian owner, it can lay no claim to the use of the water for any other purpose. As the unrestricted development of water power would interfere with commerce, the water power developments on navigable streams must be subject to the dictates of the Federal Government. There are, therefore, three parties interested in power development on navigable

streams, the Federal Government, the State, and the riparian owner.

President Roosevelt vetoed two bills granting authority to private parties for the construction of dams across navigable streams, under existing Federal laws, stating that he would withhold his approval from all such bills unless they provided for a fee to be paid to the United States for the use of such streams.

The Hon. Knute Nelson, United States Senator from Minnesota, chairman of the Senate Committee on Commerce, presented the following argument against this doctrine:

"The President * * * has declared it to be his policy not to approve of any bill permitting the construction of a dam by private parties across a navigable stream, although due provision is made for the conservation of the stream for the purpose of navigation, unless the bill provides for the payment of a royalty, or compensation, to the United States for the use of the water of the stream for purposes other than navigation.

"This is a new departure from the policy heretofore pursued in respect to legislation authorizing the construction of such dams, and in view of this fact it becomes important to inquire whether the Government of the United States has the right to require compensation for the use of water in such streams for purposes other than navigation.

"The common-law doctrine of England that a stream is not deemed to be a navigable water-course unless the tide ebbs and flows in it is not the law in this country. The question whether a water-course is a navigable stream is one of fact. If it is capable of being used for the purposes of trade and commerce in any mode, even for floating rafts of logs and timber, it is deemed to be a navigable stream.

"The title to the water of a navigable stream within the borders of a State is not in the Federal Government, but in the State; and title to the banks and bed of the stream, after

the Federal Government has parted with its riparian lands, is either in the State or in the riparian owner, or both, according to the laws of the respective states. * * *

"The use of water in such a stream is a matter of state regulation and state control. In many of the states the common-law rule, as defined in the following language of Chancellor Kent, prevails, to-wit:

"Every proprietor of lands on the banks of a river has naturally an equal right to the use of the water which flows in the stream adjacent to his lands, as it was wont to run (*currere solebat*), without diminution or alteration. No proprietor has a right to use the water to the prejudice of other proprietors above or below him unless he has a prior right to divert it, or a title to some exclusive enjoyment. He has no property in the water itself, but a simple usufruct while it passes along. *Aqua currit et debet currere ut currere solebat*, is the language of the law. Though he may use the water while it runs over his land as an incident to the land, he can not unreasonably detain it or give it another direction, and he must return it to its ordinary channel when it leaves his estate."

A number of states have recently enacted water-power laws and still others are investigating the subject. The law of the State of New York provides for a water-supply commission which is "authorized and directed to devise plans for the progressive development of the water powers of the State, for public use under State ownership and control." It further directs that detailed plans for such development be presented in reports made to the Governor of the State. This commission, after one year's investigation under the guidance of a noted engineer, use the following language in its report:

"There are at this time opportunities for the State to acquire at a minimum cost the necessary lands and rights for the construction of large storage dams for the control of flood waters and for the development of water powers which can be made to yield generous returns to the people, if built, developed, and controlled by the State; but these same lands and rights will be in a short time, like our forests, rapidly increase in price in the hands of private and corporate ownership. These valuable sites, like all natural resources, where nature has stored up immense treasures, grow more valuable each year as population becomes denser and the demand for their use increases.

"We have only to look across our northern border to the Dominion of Canada to see how our mistakes in allowing private interests to acquire natural resources have been avoided by the statesmanship of the Dominion Government. Another lesson may be learned from the policy of the Federal Government in obtaining a revenue from the national forests."

In Pennsylvania, a water-supply commission of five members was created and provision was made that no charter of a corporation for supplying water to the public, or for the development, storage, or transportation of water-power for commercial and manufacturing purposes shall be granted until the application has received the approval of the water-power commission.

Oregon has a law providing for granting franchises of waterpower by the State, and collecting fees therefor. This is a recent law made effective in May, 1909, and is as follows:

"Section 1. That all water within the State from all sources of water supply belong to the public;

"Sec. 2. That every person, firm, or corporation, except municipal corporation (hereinafter call the appropriator), who shall appropriate water after the passage of this act for the purpose of applying the same to the development of power shall, during the life of such appropriation as fixed herein, pay to the State of Oregon not less than 25 cents or more than \$2 per annum in advance, on or before the 2d day of January of each year, for each and every horsepower represented by the said appropriation. The amount of the payment shall be determined by the board of control and adjusted from time to time, based upon the percentage of power appropriated which is put to beneficial use. For the purpose of this act a horsepower is hereby defined to be 550 pounds of water per second of time for each foot of available fall.

"Sec. 3. The appropriator shall pay to the board of control the fees required by Section 2 of this act, proportionate to the remainder of the current year, and no appropriation of water for power purposes shall be deemed complete until such payment of fees is made. Immediately upon the receipt of such fees the board of control shall pay them over to the state treasurer, taking his receipt therefor, who shall place the same in the general fund of the state treasury. On or before the 2d day of January of each year thereafter every appropriator of water for power purposes shall forward to the board of control the fees provided for in section 2 of this act.

"Sec 4. Upon the completion of the appropriation of water for power purposes, as now hereafter provided by law, and compliance with the provisions of this act, the appropriator thereof shall own and enjoy all of the uses thereof so long as he pays the annual fees therefor herein required, for a term not exceeding forty years from the date of appropriation, and shall have a preference right to appropriate such water under such conditions as may be prescribed by law at the expiration of such term of years, provided all fees have been paid. If any appropriator shall fail to pay any annual fee, or shall fail or refuse to renew the appropriation at its expiration, the State shall have a preference lien therefor prior to all other liens or claims, except for taxes, upon the improvements of the appropriator for developing and applying such appropriation of water and the real estate upon which the same are located, and upon notice from the board of control the attorney-general shall proceed to foreclose the lien and

collect any unpaid fees in the same manner as other liens on real property are foreclosed, and the water shall be again subject to appropriation.

"Sec. 5. Any person, firm, or corporation who believes himself or herself injured in any material right by any decision of the board of control shall have the right of appeal from such decision to the circuit court of the county in which the proposed appropriation of water is situated.

"Sec. 6. In case the board of control is not created by law with power to supervise the appropriation, distribution, and use of the water of the State, then the duties imposed upon the board of control as prescribed by this act shall devolve upon and be assumed by the State engineer."

Other states, as Oklahoma, South Dakota, North Dakota, Utah, New Mexico, Idaho, and Nevada, have water-power laws, each one differing somewhat from the other, but all tending in the same direction, to prevent a final monopoly of one of the greatest sources of natural energy. Electrical transmission of energy is no longer an experiment, and is sure to play an important part in the future development of the nation. There is sufficient power in the rivers of Arkansas, if developed for the benefit of the State, or leased to private corporations at a reasonable rental to pay a large part, if not all, of the State's annual expenses.

This source of power is as yet almost untouched in Arkansas, and it would be comparatively easy for the State now to declare some fixed policy concerning its development and use. A little later private corporations will have acquired rights, and the problem will be more complicated.

The present session of our Legislature should consider the advisability of enacting suitable laws to protect the State's interests in this natural resource. In doing so, our State would do well to study the laws of other countries, such as France and Switzerland, which are pioneers along these lines. The right of eminent domain should be given, and if possible, right-of-way along public roads for transmission lines, under judicious restrictions. The object to be attained should be to get our water powers developed, and at the same time to safeguard the interests of the State against monopoly, or unreasonably long appropriation of a water power site, without a readjustment of fees.

INDEX.

Accuracy of field data	6
Acre-foot	4
Agricultural land along the streams	8
Beaver, gaging station at	13
Boston Mountains	7
Branson, Mo., gaging station at	29
Buffalo Fork of White River	3, 8
Above mouth of Little Buffalo	78
At mouth of Little Buffalo	79
At Seven-Mile Bend	79
Below mouth of Little Buffalo	78
Drainage area of	9
Near Gilbert	77
Tributaries of	10
Buffalo Shoal	46
Buzzards Roost Falls	50
Contour intervals	3
Convenient equivalents	5
Cotter, gaging station at	41
Daily gage hights—	
Buffalo Fork of White River, near Gilbert	80
Little Red River, near Pangburn	55
North Fork of White River, near Henderson	51
White River—	
At Cotter	41
Near Beaver	15
Near Branson, Mo.	30
Near Lead Hill	35
Discharge measurements—	
Buffalo Fork of White River near Gilbert	82
Little Red River near Pangburn	56
Miscellaneous	85
North Fork of White River near Henderson	53
White River—	
At Beaver	16
Near Branson, Mo.	32
Near Cotter	42
Near Lead Hill	37
Drainage area—	
Buffalo Fork of White River	8
North Fork of White River	8
White River	8
Elevations of water surface—	
Buffalo Fork of White River	59
North Fork of White River	47
White River	12, 20

INDEX—Continued.

Explanations of terms, tables, and curves—	
Acre-foot	4
Hydrograph	5
Rating curve	5
Rating tables	4
Run-off in inches	4
Second-foot	4
Second-foot per square mile	4
Tables of daily gage heights	4
Tables of discharge measurements	4
Federal Government water power right	2
Flood waters, control of	85
Forsythe, Mo.	1
Gaging stations	3
At Beaver	13
At Branson, Mo.	29
At Cotter	41
At Gilbert	77
At Smiths Ferry	49
Near Lead Hill	34
On Little Red River	54
Gilbert, gaging station at	77
Hydrograph	5
Idaho, water power laws of	94
Lead Hill, gaging station near	34
Little Missouri River	1
Little Red River, gaging station at Pangburn	54
Miscellaneous discharge measurements	85
Monthly discharge—	
Buffalo Fork of White River near Gilbert	84
North Fork of White River near Henderson	54
White River—	
Near Beaver	19
Near Branson, Mo.	34
Near Cotter	45
Near Lead Hill	39
Nelson, Hon. Knute, quoted	91
Nevada, water power laws of	94
New Mexico, water power laws of	94
New York, water power laws of	92
North Fork of White River	8
Drainage area of	9
Smiths Ferry	49
Oklahoma, water power laws of	94
Oregon, water power laws of	93
Ozark Plateau	7
Pennsylvania, water power laws of	93
Pike County Water Power Company	1
Precipitation, average	13
Purdue, A. H., quoted	7
Rating curve	5, 7


INDEX—Continued.

Rating Tables	4
Buffalo Fork of White River near Gilbert	82
North Fork of White River near Henderson	53
Little Red River near Pangburn	57
White River—	
Near Beaver	17
Near Branson, Mo.	32
Near Cotter	43
Near Lead Hill	37
Rocks of the region	8
Run-off in inches	4
Second-foot	4
Second-foot per square mile	4
Smiths Ferry, gaging station at	49
South Dakota, water power laws of	94
State Control of water powers	90
Tables of available horsepower—	
Between Bradleys Ferry and Cotter Station	46
Between Cotter and Buffalo City	46
Between Gilbert and the mouth of Buffalo Fork	79
Between Smiths Ferry and Norfolk	50
Between the mouth of Little Buffalo and Gilbert	79
Table of available water power between State line and Bradleys Ferry	40
Tables of distances and fall—	
Buffalo Fork of White River	11
North Fork of White River	12
White River	10
Table of mean monthly precipitation	89
Table of mean temperature	90
Table of navigable streams	2
Tables of net horsepower—	
Buffalo Fork of White River at Gilbert	88
North Fork of White River near Henderson	86
White River near Cotter	87
Tables of daily gage heights	4
Tables of discharge measurements	4
Tributaries of Buffalo Fork of White River	10
Tributaries of Upper White River	9
Topography and geology of the region	7
Upper White River near Beaver	13
U. S. Bureau of the Census	1
U. S. Engineering Corps, work of	1
U. S. Weather Bureau	7
Utah, water power laws of	94
Water power conditions in Arkansas	94
Water power laws—	
Idaho	94
New Mexico	94
New York	92
Nevada	94
Oklahoma	94

INDEX—Concluded.

Oregon	93
Pennsylvania	93
South Dakota	94
Utah	94
Water power on White River	14
Water power possibilities	39
White River and its tributaries	8
At Buffalo City	46
At Cotter	41
Drainage area of	8
Near Branson, Mo.	29
Near Lead Hill.....	34
Tributaries of upper	9
Water power on upper	14


PLATE I



SECOND-FOOT DISCHARGE IN THOUSANDS


GAGE HEIGHT IN FEET

PLATE II



DISCHARGE OF WHITE RIVER AT BEAVER ARK. 1909.

PLATE III



DISCHARGE OF WHITE RIVER AT BEAVER ARK. 1910.

PLATE IV





PLATE V



SCENE ON UPPER WHITE RIVER

PLATE VI





PLATE VII



DISCHARGE OF WHITE RIVER NEAR BRANSON MO. 1908

PLATE VI






PLATE VII




DISCHARGE OF WHITE RIVER NEAR BRANSON MO. 1908

PLATE VIII



DISCHARGE OF WHITE RIVER NEAR BRANSON MO. 1910.

PLATE IX



BY THE ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT, BUREAU OF COAST AND GEODYSY, U.S. NAVY.

PLATE X






PLATE XI




DISCHARGE OF WHITE RIVER NEAR LEAD-HILL, ARK. 1909.

PLATE XII




DISCHARGE OF WHITE RIVER NEAR LEAD HILL, ARK. 1910.

PLATE XIII




U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE, WASHINGTON, D.C.

PLATE XIV



SCENE ON WHITE RIVER, BOONE COUNTY


PLATE XV



SECOND-FOOT DISCHARGE IN THOUSANDS


GAGE HEIGHT IN FEET

PLATE XVI



DISCHARGE OF WHITE RIVER AT COTTER ARK. 1909.

PLATE XVII



DISCHARGE OF WHITE RIVER AT COTTER ARK. 1910.

PLATE XVIII




PLATE XIX






PLATE XX



DISCHARGE OF NORTH FORK OF WHITE RIVER NEAR HENDERSON ARK. 1909.

PLATE XXI



DISCHARGE OF NORTH FORK OF WHITE RIVER NEAR HENDERSON ARK 1910.







PLATE XXIV




DISCHARGE OF LITTLE RED RIVER AT PANGBURN ARK 1909.

PLATE XXV




DISCHARGE OF LITTLE RED RIVER AT PANGBURN ARK. 1910.




VALLEY OF BUFFALO FORK OF WHITE RIVER

PLATE XXXVIII



BUFFALO FORK OF WHITE RIVER IN MARION COUNTY


PLATE XXIX



SECOND-FOOT DISCHARGE IN THOUSANDS


GAGE HEIGHT IN FEET

PLATE XXX





DISCHARGE OF BUFFALO FORK OF WHITE RIVER NEAR GILBERT ARK. 1909.

PLATE XXXI




DISCHARGE OF BUFFALO FORK OF WHITE RIVER NEAR GILBERT ARK. 1910




UPPER END OF SEVEN MILE BEND ON BUFFALO FORK OF WHITE RIVER


HYDROGRAPH
LITTLE RED RIVER
FROM JULY 1909 TO SEPTEMBER 1910








HYDROGRAPHS
 BUFFALO FORK AND NORTH FORK
 OF
 WHITE RIVER
 FROM JULY 1909 TO SEPTEMBER 1910




HYDROGRAPHS
UPPER WHITE RIVER
FROM JULY 1909 TO SEPTEMBER 1910








RIVER SURVEYS
NORTH FORK OF WHITE RIVER ARKANSAS




FEET	Sec 15	Sec 22	Sec 23	Sec 24	Sec 23	Sec 26	Sec 23	Sec 26	Sec 16	Sec 21	Sec 20	Sec 37	Sec 35	Sec 2
400														
390														
380														
370														
360														
350														
340														
330														
320														
310														
300														
290														
280														
270														
260														
250														
240														
230														
220														
210														
200														
190														
180														
170														
160														
150														
140														
130														
120														
110														
100														
90														
80														
70														
60														
50														
40														
30														
20														
10														
0														

Surveyed in 1909
 in cooperation with the U. S. Geological Survey
 under the direction of
 W. N. Gladson, Engineer in Charge
 C. R. Rhodes and T. D. Williamson, Assistants




Contour interval on land is 10 feet
 Contour interval on water surface is 5 feet

RIVER SURVEYS
 NORTH FORK OF WHITE RIVER ARKANSAS




Surveyed in 1909
 in cooperation with the U. S. Geological Survey
 under the direction of
 W. N. Gladson, Engineer in Charge
 C. R. Rhodes and T. D. Williamson, Assistants



SHEET No. 2
 OF TWO SHEETS

RIVER SURVEYS
BUFFALO FORK OF WHITE RIVER ARKANSAS



Surveyed in 1910
 in cooperation with the U. S. Geological Survey
 under the direction of
 W. N. Gladson, Engineer in Charge
 P. L. Mardis and H. E. Eason, Assistants

0 1 Scale 24000 2 3 Miles

Contour interval on land is 10 feet
 Contour interval on water surface is 5 feet

Preliminary sheets in advance of final report

SHEET No. 1
 OF NINE SHEETS


Contour interval on land is 10 feet
 Contour interval on water surface is 5 feet
 Preliminary sheets in advance of final report

RIVER SURVEYS BUFFALO FORK OF WHITE RIVER ARKANSAS

State of Arkansas
 Geo. W. Donaghey, Governor
 John N. Tillman, Pres. University of Arkansas
 F. H. Phillips, Com. of Mines, Manufactures and Agriculture
 A. H. Purdue, State Geologist
 State Geological Commission









Surveyed in 1910
 in cooperation with the U. S. Geological Survey
 under the direction of
 W. N. Gladson, Engineer in Charge
 P. L. Mardis and H. E. Eason, Assistants




U. S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
 GEORGE OTIS SMITH, DIRECTOR
 WATER RESOURCES BRANCH
 M. O. LEIGHTON, CHIEF HYDROGRAPHER

SHEET No. 2
 OF NINE SHEETS

RIVER SURVEYS
BUFFALO FORK OF WHITE RIVER ARKANSAS









Surveyed in 1910
 in cooperation with the U. S. Geological Survey
 under the direction of
 W. N. Gladson, Engineer in Charge
 P. L. Mardis and H. E. Eason, Assistants




Contour interval on land is 10 feet
 Contour interval on water surface is 5 feet

Preliminary sheets in advance of final report

RIVER SURVEYS
BUFFALO FORK OF WHITE RIVER ARKANSAS








Surveyed in 1910
 in cooperation with the U. S. Geological Survey
 under the direction of
 W. N. Gladson, Engineer in Charge
 P. L. Mardis and H. E. Eason, Assistants




Contour interval on land is 10 feet
 Contour interval on water surface is 5 feet

Preliminary sheets in advance of final report

RIVER SURVEYS
BUFFALO FORK OF WHITE RIVER ARKANSAS








Surveyed in 1910
 in cooperation with the U. S. Geological Survey
 under the direction of
 W. N. Gladson, Engineer in Charge
 P. L. Mardis and H. E. Eason, Assistants




Contour interval on land is 10 feet
 Contour interval on water surface is 5 feet

Preliminary sheets in advance of final report

RIVER SURVEYS
BUFFALO FORK OF WHITE RIVER ARKANSAS











Surveyed in 1910
 in cooperation with the U. S. Geological Survey
 under the direction of
 W N Gladson, Engineer in Charge
 P. L. Mardis and H. E. Eason, Assistants



Scale 24000
 Contour interval on land is 10 feet
 Contour interval on water surface is 5 feet

Preliminary sheets in advance of final report

RIVER SURVEYS
BUFFALO FORK OF WHITE RIVER ARKANSAS







Surveyed in 1910
 in cooperation with the U. S. Geological Survey
 under the direction of
 W. N. Gladson, Engineer in Charge
 P. L. Mardis and H. E. Eason, Assistants

Contour interval on land is 10 feet
 Contour interval on water surface is 5 feet


Preliminary sheets in advance of final report

SHEET No. 7
 OF NINE SHEETS

RIVER SURVEYS
BUFFALO FORK OF WHITE RIVER ARKANSAS



Surveyed in 1910
 in cooperation with the U. S. Geological Survey
 under the direction of
 W. N. Gladson, Engineer in Charge
 P. L. Mardis and H. E. Eason, Assistants






Contour interval on land is 10 feet
 Contour interval on water surface is 5 feet

Preliminary sheets in advance of final report


RIVER SURVEYS
BUFFALO FORK OF WHITE RIVER ARKANSAS




RIVER SURVEYS
WHITE RIVER ARKANSAS




Surveyed in 1909
 in cooperation with the U. S. Geological Survey
 under the direction of
 W. N. Gladson, Engineer in Charge
 C. R. Rhodes and T. D. Williamson, Assistants



RIVER SURVEYS
WHITE RIVER ARKANSAS




Surveyed in 1909
 in cooperation with the U S Geological Survey
 under the direction of
 W N Gladson, Engineer in Charge
 C R Rhodes and T D Williamson, Assistants




Contour interval on land is 10 feet
 Contour interval on water surface is 5 feet

RIVER SURVEYS
WHITE RIVER ARKANSAS




Surveyed in 1909
 in cooperation with the U. S. Geological Survey
 under the direction of
 W. N. Gladson, Engineer in Charge
 C. R. Rhodes and T. D. Williamson, Assistants



Contour interval on land is 10 feet
 Contour interval on water surface is 5 feet
 Preliminary sheets in advance of final report

SHEET No. 3
 OF ELEVEN SHEETS

RIVER SURVEYS
WHITE RIVER ARKANSAS




Surveyed in 1909
 in cooperation with the U. S. Geological Survey
 under the direction of
 W. N. Gladson, Engineer in Charge
 C. R. Rhodes and T. D. Williamson, Assistants


Scale $\frac{1}{24000}$
 Contour interval on land is 10 feet
 Contour interval on water surface is 5 feet

SHEET No. 4
 OF ELEVEN SHEETS








Surveyed in 1909
 in cooperation with the U. S. Geological Survey
 under the direction of
 W. N. Gladson, Engineer in Charge
 C. R. Rhodes and T. D. Williamson, Assistants






Surveyed in 1909
 in cooperation with the U. S. Geological Survey
 under the direction of
 W. N. Gladson, Engineer in Charge
 C. R. Rhodes and T. D. Williamson, Assistants






Surveyed in 1909
 in cooperation with the U. S. Geological Survey
 under the direction of
 W. N. Gladson, Engineer in Charge
 C. R. Rhodes and T. D. Williamson, Assistants




Contour interval on land is 10 feet
 Contour interval on water surface is 5 feet

SHEET No. 7
 OF ELEVEN SHEETS

RIVER SURVEYS
WHITE RIVER ARKANSAS




Surveyed in 1909
 in cooperation with the U. S. Geological Survey
 under the direction of
 W. N. Gladson, Engineer in Charge
 C. R. Rhodes and T. D. Williamson, Assistants




Contour interval on land is 10 feet
 Contour interval on water surface is 5 feet

Preliminary sheets in advance of final report

RIVER SURVEYS
WHITE RIVER ARKANSAS




Cont. on Sheet 10



SHEET No. 9
 OF ELEVEN SHEETS


Surveyed in 1909
 in cooperation with the U. S. Geological Survey
 under the direction of
 W. N. Gladson, Engineer in Charge
 C. R. Rhodes and T. D. Williamson, Assistants



Contour interval on land is 10 feet
 Contour interval on water surface is 5 feet


Preliminary sheets in advance of final report

RIVER SURVEYS
WHITE RIVER ARKANSAS




FEET	470	460	450	440	430	420
430						
440						
450						
460						
470						

Surveyed in 1909
 in cooperation with the U. S. Geological Survey
 under the direction of
 W. N. Gladson, Engineer in Charge
 C. R. Rhodes and T. D. Williamson, Assistants.



RIVER SURVEYS
WHITE RIVER ARKANSAS



Surveyed in 1909
 in cooperation with the U. S. Geological Survey
 under the direction of
 W. N. Gladson, Engineer in Charge
 C. R. Rhoads and T. D. Williamson, Assistants

